

Sub. : Complaints lodged by Smt. Lina Mukherjee (Chakraborty)  
dt. 10.07.2008.

Enclosed the complaint letter lodged by Smt. Lina Mukherjee (Chakraborty) dt. 10.07.2008. Smt. Mukherjee is one of the panel candidates who have received 45 days training of HHWs. On completion of such training, the Chairman was instructed to engage regular HHWs (16 nos.) w.e.f. 15.10.2007 vide this office communication bearing no. SUDA-Health/63 ULBs/07/07/510 dt. 11.10.2007.

Though the complainants stated that three communications had been made to Director, SUDA but we have not received any such. However, we had received a letter from SDO, Lalbagh, Murshidabad under memo no. 95/1(3)/C/Health dt. 28.02.2008, wherein it was observed that follows to complaints lodged by Smt. Mukherjee, an investigation was done by SDO. We do not know actually what was the complaints. But in the aforesaid letter it is seen that one candidate namely Smt. Poulami Chowdhury (Hazra) adopted fraudulent tactics for which the Chairman, Murshidabad Municipality was asked to remove Smt. Chowdhury from the post of HHW.

Now, the complaints of Smt. Lina Mukherjee is in respect of qualifying age of five regular HHWs namely (1) Masooda Khatun, (2) Rekha Mondal, (3) Gita Pal, (4) Poly Khaton (Mirza), (5) Swadhina Bibi.

It is not known whether Smt. Mukherjee raised complaints earlier to SDO including the above mentioned five HHWs.

Under the circumstances shall we send the complaint letter to the SDO to confirm whether the earlier investigation conducted by him covered these candidates.

or

Shall we ask E.O., Murshidabad Municipality to verify the facts and forward a report.

Submitted.

*Goswami*  
17.7.08

A - as proposed.

*Umm*  
18/7/08

Draft letter enclosed for signature, if approved

*Goswami*

*Umm*  
18/7/08

\* CP-①

\* CP-②

K

U.O. no. SUDA-Health  
63 ULBs / 08/35  
dt. 17.7.08

Director SUDA.

PO (H)

PO (H)



In reply to this office letter no. SUDA-Health/63 ULB/08/151 dt. 18.07.2008, SDO, Lalbagh, Murshidabad has forwarded copies of the complaints submitted by Smt. Lina Mukherjee (Chakraborty) vide his memo no. 275/CI/En dt. 1st August, 08.

From the documents forwarded by SDO it is observed that he had investigated the ~~complaints~~ <sup>complaint</sup> lodged against Smt. Porlami Choudhury (Hossain) and intimated the chairman to lodge FIR against her and the teacher-in-charge, Kotak P.B. Vidyapath for adopting fraudulent tactics and also to remove Smt. Choudhury from the post of PHW.

The complainant (Smt. Lina Mukherjee) in her complaints dt. 10.7.2008, has mentioned five names, out of which four <sup>complaints</sup> <sup>against</sup> <sup>names</sup> had been lodged to SDO in phases. But SDO did not mention about any enclosures of such complaints.

Under the circumstances, shall we request DLO to make an enquiry? Submitted.

*[Signature]*  
26.09.08

Since SDO, Lalbagh has already issued direction re: one such complaint, he may be requested to look into the <sup>instant</sup> <sup>complaint</sup> of Smt. Mukherjee.

*[Signature]*  
29/9/08

Director, SUDA

PO(H)



Instruction pre-page:

Draft letter is enclosed for signature, if approved.

Submitted.

*[Signature]*  
30.09.08

*[Signature]*  
11/10/08

As per instruction letter re-drafted and submitted for signature.

*[Signature]*  
01.10.08

*[Signature]*  
11/10/08

Despatched.

*[Signature]*  
3.10.08

Placed herewith is the communication of SDO, Lalbagh, Murshidabad bearing memo. no. 440/C/En Dt. 4.12.08\* in reply to our comm. bearing no. SUDO-63 URB/08/21(P-1)/244 Dt. 30.9.08.

Enquiry report of SDO in respect of age of the following HHWS is as under:-

Sl. no.	Name of HHWS	Enquiry Report in respect of age of HHWS.
1.	Masooda Khatun	Age on date of interview i.e. 20.09.07 is 23 yrs. which is below requisite age of 25 yrs.
2.	Rekha Mondal	Age on dt. of interview calculated was 35+ yrs. which is above requisite upper age limit i.e. 35 yrs.
3.	Gita Pal	Age on dt. of interview is 34+ yrs. which within the limit of requisite age group.
4.	Poly Khatun (Mitra)	Age on dt. of interview is 24 yrs. 11 months 19 days.

~~Director, SUDA~~  
~~PO (H)~~  
~~PO (H)~~

\*ref ①



Sl. no.	Name of HHW	Enquiry Report in respect of age of HHW
5.	Sudama Bisi	Age on date of interview is 25 yr. +, which is within the requisite age group.

In case of Smt. Polly Khata (Musa) appearing at sl. no. ③ — age on the date of interview is 24 yrs. 11 months 19 days — it is to be decided whether the candidate will be considered.

In respect of HHW appearing at sl. no. 1, 2, 4 (?), Advice may kindly be accorded for further necessary action.

Furthermore, it is seen from the letter of SDO that the Chairman, Municipalities has not yet taken action against Poulami Choudhury (Musa) and Teacher-in-charge, Kolan V.B. Vidyopitri for adopting fraudulent practices for wrongful gain. In this regard he issued letter bearing no. SUSA-Health/63 ULS/07/701 dt. 06.03.2008 to the Chairman requesting to take up action and to inform Director, SUSA. But no response has yet been received. Submitted.

④ CP-②

Sl. no. - SUSA-63049/94  
 Dt. 15.12.08  
 Director, SUSA

④ SUSA  
 15.12.08

Attention D.C., M.S.D. be drawn to the issuance, who has the legal authority to take action.

Chin  
 19/12/08

As instructed, draft letter is enclosed for signature, if approved.

④ SUSA  
 22.12.08

Dispatched.

④ SUSA  
 7.1.09

Chin  
 7/1/09

PO(H)  
 PO(H)

Director, SUSA



-5-

Placed herewith communication of SDO, Lalbagh, Murshidabad bearing no. 502/CL/En dt. 31-12-08. It is seen that no action has yet been taken by the chairman, Murshidabad against Smt. Poulami Choudhury (H2212) and Teacher-in-charge, Kolan V.B. Vidyapith. We have already written to DM where issue of Smt. Poulami Choudhury (H2212) was <sup>also</sup> dealt.

Again we may write to DM, Murshidabad enclosing a copy of the earlier communication along with recent letter of SDO for taking necessary administrative action. Submitted.

~~Director SUDA~~  
 (H)

Despatched

~~gossain~~  
 18.02.09

19/2/09

~~gossain~~  
 20.2.09

Placed herewith comm. of the chairman, Murshidabad MPE, dt. 21-05-09 requesting for conduct of selection of 4 nos. of FTS. Amongst the 16 nos. of regular HHWs, complaints (Chakrabarty) against 6 nos. of HHWs, out of which one HHW namely Poulami Choudhury (H2212) resigned from the post w.e.f. 28.2.09 as stated by the chairman. We don't know the outcome of 5 other accused HHWs.



We wrote to the DM, Murshidabad for taking necessary action, but we have no idea actually what has happened.

Under the circumstances stated above, how the FTS could be selected?

Submitted for kind advice.

Director, SUOA

0522mm  
08.06.09

By DCB, Berhampore may be requested through DCB to submit a report in consultation with SDO.

Urim.  
8/4/09

PO(H)

As instructed draft letter is enclosed for signature, if approved.

Director, SUOA  
PO(H)

0522mm  
09.06.09.

Urim.  
9/4/09

Despatched.

0522mm  
10.06.09