

Serial No. 4945

Date 12/9/99

Signed [Signature]

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Superintending Engineer, West Circle
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Patal Bazar, 3rd floor, Tinkonia, Bardhaman.

No. MED/SE(W)/369 / W-51/98

Dated: 6-9-99

From : The Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dte.

To : The Chief Engineer
M. E. Directorate.

Sub : R.C.H. Programme in Asansol Civil Procurement Works.

Sir,

With reference to the highlights of the Minutes of the Meeting of the Review meeting held at Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.

I am to draw your attention towards the Highlights of the Minutes of the meeting of the Review meeting in regards World Bank assisted Health Projects held at New Delhi on 6.5.99, in respect of Civil Procurement Plan. In this regard I am to offer my comments as under :-

1) Regarding completion of the Rebidding Process by 15.9.99.

The clearance from the Bank vide letter dt.26.7.99 was received at this end on 12.8.99 by Fax message. Immediately this subject was taken up with the appropriate authorities for according necessary Govt. approval for initiating such rebidding process. After obtaining the requisite approval on 12.8.99 vide memo No.585/C-10/35-17/98 dt.12.9.99 the (Re) bid notices were delivered to each original applicant for this office previous Bid Notices Nos. 1/RCH/Asan and 2/RCH/Asan by registered post. The rebid notice vide NoMED/SE(W)/303/W-51/ (Pt.II)dt.12.8.99 was issued with the stipulating as the period of sale of bid documents starting from 23.8.99 and ending on 23.9.99 for 30 days as per Bank norms. The date of starting of sales had to be provided in consideration of the postal time of delivery to each applicant from the date of issuance of bid notice. A previous request to reduce the bid sale period was not approved by the Bank (Letter dt.28.5.99 from Dr. Ranana). As such the rebidding process can be completed on 24.9.99 as per the rebid notice.

contd...2/-


[Handwritten notes and signatures]

2) After completion of the rebidding process, the Bid evaluation reports are necessary to be prepared for the first three cases in the Bank format, which will require further 7 days time from 4.10.99. As such the Evaluation Reports can be sent on 13.10.99 latest to the MOHFW for their clearance and forwarding to World Bank. The Bids for the works can be accepted and started only after getting clearance from the World Bank maintain all the formalities of Prior Review process as per their norm with all possible steps of expectation, it can be stated the works can be started on and from 30.10.99 provided the requisite Bank clearance is available latest by 23.10.99.

3) Regarding site Plans for 5 Nos. HAU and 1 No. M.S.

The sites have been identified quite long ago and informations alongwith site plans have been sent to the MOHFW during the early stage.

Works for these balance units are proposed to be taken up in the next phase of works, as soon as the prior review formalities for the 1st phase of works are complete and adequate funds are available.


Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E.Dte.

No.MED/SE(W)/ /

Dated: 6/10/99
Depto. of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay, Adviser (Health), SUDA.

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E.Dte.

XMT REPORT

Sep. 13 1999 04:55PM

NO.	OTHER FACSIMILE	START TIME	USAGE TIME	MODE	PAGES	RESULT
01	CE, M. E. D. /DMA	Sep. 13 04:52PM	02'52	TX	05	OK



भारत सरकार

स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली - 110011

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE
(DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY WELFARE)
NEW DELHI - 110011

MEENAKSHI DATTA GHOSH
Joint Secretary

Tel/Fax : 3019066
E-mail : jsmdg@nb.nic.in

2012

*Dr. R.N. Khan
may kindly send expediently
to CE MED for review of the
Govt of the projects (detailed in ISI/dkt)
submitted to Govt. of India
pl note be made.*

D.O.No.L19013/1/99-AP.I

30th August 1999

Dear Ms.Saggi,

Under the World Bank assisted RCH Programme and since October, 1997, a district sub-project in Murshidabad district and a city sub-project in Asansole are under implementation at a cost of Rs. 13.53 crores and Rs. 8.57 crores respectively.

As per our records, Rs. 497 lakhs in Murshidabad district and Rs. 165 lakhs in Asansole city were earmarked for civil works (towards new construction as well as add-ons / up-gradation/repair). Some State Governments have conveyed that the expenditure under civil works is likely to exceed the cost originally allocated, on account of price/cost escalation or delay in start up of construction activities, and have requested for additional funds for completion of civil works activities. This item has been considered in the Ministry in consultation with the World Bank.

To facilitate an early decision, kindly furnish information on i) the amount committed on works already awarded (item-wise) (ii) the amount originally envisaged and the likely revised estimates for civil works activities yet to be undertaken, for new construction works, as well as for proposed upgrading/adds-on/repairs etc. (iii) justification and rationale behind increase in the cost of civil works including whether the increase in cost of civil works is due to delay in start-up of construction activities or because of price/cost escalation etc. It would be useful if this information reached this Ministry by 15th September, 1999.

In the absence of this information, we may be constrained to presume that all civil works under the Sub-projects are being completed within the originally allocated amount.

Further, while approving the Sub-projects in your State, it was envisaged that the fresh construction activities would be completed by the end of the second year

SUDA-120/96 Pt 11/139

Dated 13.9.99.

c.c. to

CE

MED

for favour of kind information & necessary action.

(Dr. N. G GANGOPADHYAY,
Adviser (Health)

S. U. D. A.


urgent

13/9/99

of implementation. Kindly ensure that the construction of civil works are indeed completed on schedule. Finally, do also forward quickly the current physical and financial progress report, alongwith your claims on the expenditure already incurred.

With regards.

Yours sincerely,



(Meenakshi Datta Ghosh)

Ms. Neera Saggi
Secretary (Family Welfare)
Govt. of West Bengal,
Deptt. of Health & Family Welfare
Writers' Building,
Calcutta - 700 001

Copy to:
Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser (Health), SUDA
H.C. Block, (ILGUS Bhavan), Sector-3,
Salt Lake,
Calcutta - 700 091.



MEENAKSHI DATTA GHOSH
Joint Secretary

Tel/Fax : 3019066
E-mail : jsmdg@nb.nic.in

2012

भारत सरकार
स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय
नई दिल्ली - 110011
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE
(DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY WELFARE)
NEW DELHI - 110011

*Dr. R.N. Kumar
may kindly send expert
to CE MED for review of
by of the records (date 15/8/99)
submitted to Govt.
pl. also be kept.*

D.O.No.L19013/1/99-AP.I
30th August 1999

Dear Ms.Saggi,

Under the World Bank assisted RCH Programme and since October, 1997, a district sub-project in Murshidabad district and a city sub-project in Asansole are under implementation at a cost of Rs. 13.53 crores and Rs. 8.57 crores respectively.

As per our records, Rs. 497 lakhs in Murshidabad district and Rs. 165 lakhs in Asansole city were earmarked for civil works (towards new construction as well as add-ons / up-gradation/repair). Some State Governments have conveyed that the expenditure under civil works is likely to exceed the cost originally allocated, on account of price/cost escalation or delay in start up of construction activities, and have requested for additional funds for completion of civil works activities. This item has been considered in the Ministry in consultation with the World Bank.

To facilitate an early decision, kindly furnish information on i) the amount committed on works already awarded (item-wise) (ii) the amount originally envisaged and the likely revised estimates for civil works activities yet to be undertaken, for new construction works, as well as for proposed upgrading/adds-on/repairs etc. (iii) justification and rationale behind increase in the cost of civil works including whether the increase in cost of civil works is due to delay in start-up of construction activities or because of price/cost escalation etc. It would be useful if this information reached this Ministry by 15th September, 1999.

In the absence of this information, we may be constrained to presume that all civil works under the Sub-projects are being completed within the originally allocated amount.

Further, while approving the Sub-projects in your State, it was envisaged that the fresh construction activities would be completed by the end of the second year

SUDA-120/96 Pt-II/139

Dated 13.9.99

c.c. to

*CE
MED for prompt of kind information -
necessarily action.*


(Dr. N. G GANGOPADHYAY)
Advisor (Health)

S.U.D.A.

of implementation. Kindly ensure that the construction of civil works are indeed completed on schedule. Finally, do also forward quickly the current physical and financial progress report, alongwith your claims on the expenditure already incurred.

With regards.

Yours sincerely,



(Meenakshi Datta Ghosh)

Ms. Neera Saggi
Secretary (Family Welfare)
Govt. of West Bengal,
Deptt. of Health & Family Welfare
Writers' Building,
Calcutta - 700 001

Copy to:
Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser (Health), SUDA
H.C. Block, (ILGUS Bhavan), Sector-3,
Salt Lake,
Calcutta - 700 091.

Communication to Government should give the Number, Date and Subject of any previous Correspondence and be addressed to the Secretary of the Department concerned.

Government of West Bengal

Municipal Affairs Department



Cell-10 Branch

No. 585/C-10/3S-17/98.

From : Shri R.N. Dutta,
Special Secretary to the Govt. of W.B.

To : The Chief Engineer,
Municipal Engineering Directorate,
Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Calcutta-91.

Dated Calcutta, the ...12th August,.....1999.....

Sub : RCH Programme in Asansol Civil Procurement Works.

The undersigned is directed to refer to his note bearing No.u.c./ME/III/4S-37/97 I dated 2-8-99 on the subject noted above and to say that the State Government in the Department of Municipal Affairs have no objection to & allow the Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate to go ahead in the matter of rejection of all the original bids and rebidding the documents confining the process to original purchasers of each group of civil works as proposed following the norms prescribed by the World Bank.

Sd/- R.N. Dutta.

Special Secretary.

No. : 585/1/C-10/3S-17/98.

Dated : 12-8-1999.

Copy forwarded to the Director and Chief Executive, State Urban Development Agency for information.

Special Secretary.

Handwritten notes:
Civil works - JPP - [Signature]
[Signature]
has the note
for
24/8/99

Handwritten note:
ADV (Health)
[Signature]
2/8

Dr. R. K. Roy
we may put up
for perusal
23/8

GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL
FAMILY WELFARE URBAN SLUMS PROJECT
RCH SUB-PROJECT, ASANSOL
INVITATION FOR BIDS (IFB)
NATIONAL COMPETITIVE BIDDING



Construction of HAU & ESOPD cum MH under RCH Sub-Project at Asansol
(A World Bank Project financed through Health and Family Welfare
Deptt., Government of India)


303 BID No.6/RCH/ASAN of 1999-2000

Notice No.MED/SE(W)/~~250~~ /W-51(Pt.II)/98 dt:2.8.99

1. The Government of India has received a credit from the Interim Trust Fund (ITF) administered by the international Development Association (IDA) in various currencies towards the cost of R.C.H. Sub-Project and intends to apply a part of the funds to cover eligible payments under the contracts for construction of works as detailed below. Bidders who have originally purchased the bidding documents for the specific groups of works against this office earlier bid Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN and 2/RCH/ASAN are eligible to bid for those particular groups of works only as notified below.
2. The Superintending Engineer (West Circle), M.E. Directorate invites bids for the construction of works as detailed below.
3. Bidding documents (and additional copies) may be purchased from the office of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn., Superintending Engineer (West Circle), M.E. Dte. from 23.8.99 to 23.9.99 upto 2.00 P.M.(IST) for a non-refundable fee (for each sets of three copies) of Rs.1,500/- as indicated, in the shape of cash or Demand Draft on any Scheduled Bank payable at Asansol in favour of Executive Engineer Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte. Interested bidders may obtain further information at the same address. Bidding documents requested by mail will be despatched by registered post on payment of an extra amount of Rs.500/-. This Directorate will not be held responsible for the postal delay if any, in the delivery of the documents or non-receipt of the same.
4. Bids must be accompanied by security of the amount specified for the work as mentioned below, payable at Asansol and drawn in favour of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte. Bid security will have to be in any one of the shapes as specified in the bidding documents and shall have to be valid for 90 days beyond the validity of the bid.
5. Bids must be delivered to the office of the Superintending Engineer West Circle, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Govt. of West Bengal, Patal Bazar(3rd floor), Tinkonia, Bardhaman on or before 24.9.99 upto 14.00Hrs(IST) and will be opened on the same day at 15.00 Hrs. (IST) in front of the bidders who wish to attend. If the office happens to be closed on the date of receipt of the bids as specified, the bid will be received and opened on the next day of opening of the office at the same time and venue.
6. Other details can be seen in the bidding documents.

Group No.	Name of work	Approx. value in Rs.	Bid security Rs.	Cost of documents Rs.	Period of completion.
I.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.U.S. at Mouza-Santa, R.S. Plot No.2780, at Ward No.47, J.L. No.20 and Mouza-Kuilapur, J.L. No.14, R.S. Plot No.484 Ward No.49.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
II.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.U.S. at Mouza-Mohishila, R.S. Plot No. 599 at Ward No.36 (J.L. No.37) and Mouza-Santa, R.S. Plot No.3214, Ward No.3.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
III.	Construction of 2 Nos. of H.A.U.S. at Mouza-Kalipahari, R.S. Plot No.8(P) at Ward No.20, (J.L. No.36) and Mouza-Asansol Municipality, R.S. Plot No. 22383 at Ward No.19, J.L. No.20.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
IV.	Construction of 1 No. ESOPD cum Maternity Home at Mouza-Ismail, J.L.No.22, R.S. Plot No.235, Ward No.9.	37,52,000/-	75,000/-	500/-	12 months.
V.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.U.s at Mouza Asansol R.S.Plot No.14569, 14558 work No.27 (J.L. No.20) and Mouza-Nabaghanty, R.S. Plot No.776, Ward No. 43.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
VI.	Construction of 1 No. ESOPD cum Maternity Home at Mouza Sitla, J.L. No.21, R.A.Plot No.1325, Ward No.28.	37,52,000/-	75,000/-	500/-	12 months.

AAOS-8
ESOPD EmH-2



 Superintending Engineer
 West Circle, M. E. Dte.

Memo No. MED/SE(W)/³⁰³~~20~~(20) /W-51/98 Pt.II

Dated: 12-3-99.

Copy forwarded for information to :-

1. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.
2. The Chief Engineer, M. E. Directorate.
3. The Director, S.U.D.A., ILGUS Bhavan, Salt Lake, Calcutta.
4. The Special Secretary, M.A. Deptt.
5. The Adviser (Health), SUDA.
6. The Superintending Engineer, (East, North, South & Head Quarter).
7. The Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte.
8. Mr. Anil Bhandari, Operation Adviser, World Bank, New Delhi.
9. Head Estimator, West Circle, M.E.Dte.
10. _____, Bidder.


Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dte.

1665
20 AUG 1999

GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL
FAMILY WELFARE URBAN SLUMS PROJECT
RCH SUB-PROJECT, ASANSOL
INVITATION FOR BIDS (IFB) (re-bidding)
NATIONAL COMPETITIVE BIDDING

Construction of HAU & ESOPD cum MH under RCH Sub-Project at Asansol
(A World Bank Project financed through Health and Family Welfare
Deptt., Government of India)

303 BID No.6/RCH/ASAN of 1999-2000

Notice No. MED/SE(W)/~~259~~ /W-51(Pt.II)/98 dt. 2.8.99

- ASW (Health)
2-8
1. The Government of India has received a credit from the Interim Trust Fund (ITF) administered by the international Development Association (IDA) in various currencies towards the cost of R.C.H. Sub-Project and intends to apply a part of the funds to cover eligible payments under the contracts for construction of works as detailed below. Bidders who have originally purchased the bidding documents for the specific groups of works against this office earlier bid Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN and 2/RCH/ASAN are eligible to bid for those particular groups of works only as notified below.
 2. The Superintending Engineer (West Circle), M.E. Directorate invites bids for the construction of works as detailed below.
 3. Bidding documents (and additional copies) may be purchased from the office of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn., Superintending Engineer (West Circle), M.E. Dte. from 23.8.99 to 23.9.99 upto 2.00 P.M.(IST) for a non-refundable fee (for each sets of three copies) of Rs.1,500/- as indicated, in the shape of cash or Demand Draft on any Scheduled Bank payable at Asansol in favour of Executive Engineer Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte. Interested bidders may obtain further information at the same address. Bidding documents requested by mail will be despatched by registered post on payment of an extra amount of Rs.500/-. This Directorate will not be held responsible for the postal delay if any, in the delivery of the documents or non-receipt of the same.
 4. Bids must be accompanied by security of the amount specified for the work as mentioned below, payable at Asansol and drawn in favour of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte. Bid security will have to be in any one of the shapes as specified in the bidding documents and shall have to be valid for 90 days beyond the validity of the bid.
 5. Bids must be delivered to the office of the Superintending Engineer West Circle, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Govt. of West Bengal, Patal Bazar(3rd floor), Tinkonia, Bardhaman on or before 24.9.99 upto 14.00Hrs(IST) and will be opened on the same day at 15.00 Hrs. (IST) in front of the bidders who wish to attend. If the office happens to be closed on the date of receipt of the bids as specified, the bid will be received and opened on the next day of opening of the office at the same time and venue.
 6. Other details can be seen in the bidding documents.

Group No.	Name of work	Approx. value in Rs.	Bid security Rs.	Cost of documents Rs.	Period of completion.
I.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.U.S. at Mouza-Santa, R.S. Plot No.2780, at Ward No.47, J.L. No.20 and Mouza-Kuilapur, J.L. No.14, R.S. Plot No.484 Ward No.49.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
II.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.U.S. at Mouza-Mohishila, R.S. Plot No. 599 at Ward No.36 (J.L. No.37) and Mouza-Santa, R.S. Plot No.3214, Ward No.3.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
III.	Construction of 2 Nos. of H.A.U.S. at Mouza-Kalipahari, R.S. Plot No.8(P) at Ward No.20, (J.L. No.36) and Mouza-Asansol Municipality, R.S. Plot No. 22383 at Ward No.19, J.L. No.20.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
IV.	Construction of 1 No. ESOPD cum Maternity Home at Mouza-Ismail, J.L.No.22, R.S. Plot No.235, Ward No.9.	37,52,000/-	75,000/-	500/-	12 months.
V.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.U.s at Mouza Asansol R.S.Plot No.14569, 14558 work No.27 (J.L. No.20) and Mouza-Nabaghanty, R.S. Plot No.776, Ward No. 43.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months.
VI.	Construction of 1 No. ESOPD cum Maternity Home at Mouza Sitla, J.L. No.21, R.A.Plot No.1325, Ward No.28.	37,52,000/-	75,000/-	500/-	12 months.

Memo no.SUDA-120/96(Pt-II)/109 dt. 23.8.99

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dte.

✓ C.C. ADM & Chief Executive Officer-Asansol Municipal Corporation-
for kind information.


Adviser (Health) 23/8/99
S.U.D.A.

Memo No. MED/SE(W)/³⁰³~~259~~ (20) /W-51/98 Pt. II

Dated: . 12 - 3 - 99 .

Copy forwarded for information to :-

1. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.
2. The Chief Engineer, M. E. Directorate.
3. The Director, S.U.D.A., ILGUS Bhavan, Salt Lake, Calcutta.
4. The Special Secretary, M.A. Deptt.
5. The Adviser (Health), SUDA.
6. The Superintending Engineer, (East, North, South & Head Quarter).
7. The Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte.
8. Mr. Anil Bhandari, Operation Adviser, World Bank, New Delhi.
9. Head Estimator, West Circle, M.E.Dte.
10. _____, Bidder.


Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dte.

[W]

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

*Civil
Asansol
1998*

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group IV of Notice no. 1/RCH/ASAN
5. Description of works : Construction of one no. ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Ismail, Ward No. 9, J.L. No. 22 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, (Gr. IV of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN).
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 37,52,000 based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : No.
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98(1st. call) & 27.10.98 to 29.10.98 (2nd. call)
10. Date of bid opening : 5.11.98
11. No. of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
1. Tapas Kumar Adhikary, Burdwan.
 2. Westing House Saxby Framer Ltd. Calcutta.
 3. Kalna Engineering Co., Kalna, Bardhaman.
 4. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Radha Nagar; Sasthitala, Bardhaman.
 5. M/s. Chatterjee Construction, East Kenda Colony P.O.-Kenda, Bardhaman.
 6. M/s. Maya, 18, Parkus Road, Bardhaman.
12. Number of bids received : 6 nos.

13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Bid Price	Brief reasons for rejection
1.			
2.			
3.			

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1.	Chatterjee Construction	Rs. 41,88,616/-
2.	M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 42,39,029/-
3.	Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 42,43,166/-
4.	Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs. 43,32,596/-
5.	Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 43,79,823/-
6.	M/s. Maya	Rs. 48,84,339/-

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1.	Chatterjee Construction	Rs. 41,88,616/-
2.	M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 42,39,029/-
3.	Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 42,43,166/-
4.	Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs. 43,32,596/-
5.	Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 43,79,823/-
6.	M/s. Maya	Rs. 48,84,339/-

(If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18)

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

- (a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :
- (b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :
- (c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :
- (d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for rejection/re-invitation? If so, state in brief

- : i) IFB published in the newspapers was not in the format as given in the bidding documents.
- ii) The bid documents were sold for 7 days, from August 17, 1998 to August 20, 1998 and October 27, 1998 to October 29, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
- iii) Date of bid opening was November 5, 1998 while as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding documents should have been made available for sale till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids, whereas the documents were sold upto October 29, 1998 only.
- iv) Rejection and rebidding of this group was done without prior approval and clearance from the Bank.
- v) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt. of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on February 3, 1999.
E.R.S were sent from SUDA to MOHFW on 17.11.98 & 19.12.98

Drawing was earlier approved by World Bank. But subsequently certain observations were made by MOHFW Consultant, Mr. Karol

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices? (Please list)

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

: To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

19. GENERAL (Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

: The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the foregoing rebidding process.

Signature.....

Name...(G. Das)

Designation.....

Date July 15,99

MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE

Deptt. of Municipal Affairs

Govt. of W.B.

BIKASH BHAVAN

South Block (1st Floor) ● Salt Lake City ● Calcutta-700 091

No: MED/SE(W)/211/W-51/98 II

Dated: 13.7.1999.

From:- G. Das
Superintending Engineer
West Circle
M.E.Directorate.*Dr. Ramanna*
R es
Boanshik
*4/8*To :- Sri Anil Bhandari
Operations Advisor
World Bank
New Delhi.

Sub: Implementation of RCH Programme at Asansol - Civil Procurement Works.

Ref: Memo No.ME/470/4S-37/97 dated 8.7.99 from the Chief Engineer,
M.E.Directorate.

Sir,

The Chief Engineer, M.E.Directorate vide above referred memo forwarded the recommendation for rejection and re-invitation of Bids for 3 Groups of works for your kind perusal and necessary clearance. Subsequently, he had a telephonic discussion with Dr.Ramanna on 12.7.99. I am accordingly directed to forward herewith the revised recommendation for rejection as well as re-invitation of all the 6 Groups of Bids in 1st Phase invited vide Bid Notice Nos.1/RCH/ASAN and 2/RCH/ASAN in the Bank's prescribed format as detailed hereunder :

Bid Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN

- Gr.I Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Santa (J.L.No.20) & Mouza-Kulapur (J.L.No.14).
Gr.II Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Mohisilla (J.L.No.37).
Gr.III Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Kalipahari(J.L.No.36) & Mouza-Asansol(J.L.No.20),
Gr.IV Construction of 1 No.ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Ismail(J.L.No.22), R.S.Plot No.235, Ward No.9.

Bid Notice No.2/RCH/ASAN

- Gr.I Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Asansol,Ward No.27(J.L.No.20) & Mouza-Nabaghanty, Ward No.43.
Gr.II Construction of 1 No.ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Sitla(J.L.No.21), R.S.Plot No.1325, Ward No.28

I would request you for an early necessary action from your end.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Encl: As above.(G. DAS.)
Superintending Engineer
West Circle,M.E.Dte.

MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE

Deptt. of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of W.B.

BIKASH BHAVAN

South Block (1st Floor) ● Salt Lake City ● Calcutta-700 091

- : 2 : -

No: MED/SE(W)/211/1(7)/W-51/98 II

Dated: 13.7.1999.

Copy forwarded for information to :-

1. Sri Mam Chand, Sr.Procurement Engineer,World Bank, New Delhi.
2. Dr. G.N.V.Ramana, Public Health Specialist, HNP Divn., World Bank.
3. Sri E.J.Jos, under Secretary & to the Govt.of India (Area Project),
Deptt.of Family Welfare, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare,
Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
4. Sri A.K.Mehra, Jt.Director, MOHFW, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. Dr. N.G.Gangopadhyay, Advisor (Health) SUDA.
6. The Chief Engineer, M.E.Director.
7. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Asansol.

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E.Dte.

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E. Dte.
Department of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group I of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza-Santa, R.S. Plot No. 2780, Ward No. 42, (J.L. 20) and Mouza- Kulpur, R.S. Plot No. 484, Ward No. 49 (J.L. No. 14) under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, (Gr.I of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN).
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : Yes, on 28.4.98
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98
10. Date of bid opening : 25.9.98
11. No. of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
- M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.
17, Convent Road, Calcutta - 14.
 - Muktipada Paul,
Dr. R.R. Road, J.M. Lane,
P.O. Raniganj, Dist. Burdwan.
12. Number of bids received : 2 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
1.			
2.			
3.			

14. List of substantially responsive bids showing ranking :
- | <u>Name</u> | <u>Bid Price</u> |
|--|------------------|
| 1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd. | Rs. 29,46,068.00 |
| 2. Muktipada Paul | Rs. 29,91,293.00 |
15. List of bids which meet the specified qualification criteria :
- | <u>Name</u> | <u>Bid Price</u> |
|--|------------------|
| 1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd. | Rs. 29,46,068.00 |
| 2. Muktipada Paul | Rs. 29,91,293.00 |

(If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18)

16. If the bids are being proposed for rejection due to higher rates, furnish the following information : No.
- (a) Did you update the estimates for the current market rates for labour materials (cement/steel etc.), actual leads of materials and construction methodology propose now? :
- (b) If yes, indicate the updated cost along with break-up for each factor considered :
- (c) Did you identify the item or items and factors which contributed to the major variation over the updated estimates? :
- (d) Did you seek clarification including breakdowns of units rates for the items and factors so identified? If yes, what are the reasons indicated by the bidders and what is your determination on them? :
17. Are there any other reason for rejection/re-invitation? If so, state in brief
- i) IFB published in the newspapers was not in the format as given in the bidding documents.
- ii) The bid documents were sold for 4 days, from August 17, 1998 to August 20, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
- iii) Date of bid opening was September 21, 1998 while as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding documents should have been made available for sale till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids, whereas the documents were sold upto August 20, 1998 only.
- iv) Bid opening date was extended for 4 days only from September 21, 1998 to September 25, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.

v) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on December 30, 1998.

vi) Bid security periods also expired.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices? (Please list)

:

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

: To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

:

19. GENERAL

(Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

: The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forthcoming rebidding process.

Date..... July, 13, 99

Signature..... *[Handwritten Signature]* 13/7/99

Name..... (G. D.B.)

Designation.....
Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dept.
Department of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group II of bid notice No.1/RCH/ASAN.
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza-Mohishilla, R.S. Plot No. 599, Ward No. 42, J.L. No. 37 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, Gr.II of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : Submitted vide memo no. ME/394/4S-37/97 dt.21.6.99 for the clearance.
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98
10. Date of bid opening : 25.9.98
11. No.of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
1. Bibhuti Shaw, Burdwan.
 2. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Burdwan.
 3. Kalna Engineering Co., Kalna, Burdwan.
 4. Westing House Sazby Farmer Ltd., Calcutta.
12. Number of bids received : 4 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
----------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------------------

1.

2.

3.

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 29,35,907.00
2. Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
3. Bibhuti Shaw	Rs. 29,52,904.00
4. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 38,31,806.00

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 29,35,907.00
2. Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
3. Bibhuti Shaw	Rs. 29,52,904.00
4. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 38,31,806.00

(If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18)

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

(a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :

(b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :

(c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :

(d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for
rejection/re-invitation?
If so, state in brief

- : i) IFB published in the newspapers was not
in the format as given in the bidding
documents.
ii) The bid documents were sold for 4 days, from
August 17, 1998 to August 20, 1998 against the
minimum requirement of 30 days.
iii) Date of bid opening was September 21, 1998 while
as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding
documents should have been made available for sale
till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids,
whereas the documents were sold upto August 20,
1998 only.

- iv) Bid opening date was extended for 4 days only from September 21, 1998 to September 25, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
- v) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt. of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on December 30, 1998.
- vi) Bid security periods also expired.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

- (a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices? (Please list) :
- (b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation? : To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.
- (c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons. :

19. GENERAL

- (Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation) : The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the foregoing rebidding process.

Date... July 13, 99

Signature.....

Name.....

Designation.....

(G. D.M.S.)
 13/7/99
 Superintending Engineer
 West Circle, M. E. Dept.
 Department of Municipal Affairs
 Govt. of West Bengal

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group III of bid notice no.1/RCH/ASAN.
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza-Kali Pahari, Ward No. 20 J.L. No. 36 and Mouza-Asansol, Ward No. 19, J.L. No. 20 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, (Gr. III of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN).
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : Submitted vide memo no. ME/394/4S-37/97 dt. 21.6.99 for clearance
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98
10. Date of bid opening : 25.9.98
11. No. of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
1. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Burdwan.
 2. Westing House Saxby Framer Ltd. Calcutta.
 3. Muktipada Paul, Raniganj, Burdwan.
12. Number of bids received : 3 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
----------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------------------

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :
- | <u>Name</u> | <u>Bid Price</u> |
|---|------------------|
| 1. Muktipada Paul | Rs. 29,29,673.00 |
| 2. M/S Westing House Sexby
Farmer Ltd. | Rs. 29,46,068.00 |
| 3. Tapas Kumar Hazra | Rs. 36,04,902.00 |
15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :
- | <u>Name</u> | <u>Bid Price</u> |
|---|------------------|
| 1. Muktipada Paul | Rs. 29,29,673.00 |
| 2. M/S Westing House Sexby
Farmer Ltd. | Rs. 29,46,068.00 |
| 3. Tapas Kumar Hazra | Rs. 36,04,902.00 |

If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.
- (a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :
- (b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :
- (c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :
- (d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :
17. Are there any other reason for
rejection/re-invitation?
If so, state in brief :
- i) IFB published in the newspapers was not
in the format as given in the bidding
documents.
 - ii) The bid documents were sold for 4 days, from
August 17, 1998 to August 20, 1998 against the
minimum requirement of 30 days.
 - iii) Date of bid opening was September 21, 1998 while
as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding
documents should have been made available for sale
till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids,
whereas the documents were sold upto August 20,
1998 only.

- iv) Bid opening date was extended for 4 days only from September 21, 1998 to September 25, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
- v) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt. of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on December 30, 1998.
- vi) Bid security periods also expired.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

- (a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices? (Please list) :
- (b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation? : To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.
- (c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons. :

19. GENERAL

- (Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation) : The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forthcoming rebidding process.

Date. July. 13. 99

Signature.....  13/7/99.

Name..... (G. D. B. S.)

Designation..... **Superintending Engineer**
West Circle, M. E. Dept.
Department of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group IV of Notice no. 1/RCH/ASAN
5. Description of works : Construction of one no. ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Ismaïl, Ward No. 9, J.L. No. 22 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, (Gr.IV of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN).
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 37,52,000 based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : No.
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :

<u>Name of Paper</u>	<u>Date of Publication</u>
1. Ananda Bazar Patrika	1.8.98
2. The Statesman	3.8.98
3. The Telegraph	1.8.98
4. Bartaman	1.8.98
5. Aajkal	1.8.98
6. Ganasakti	1.8.98
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98(1st. call) & 27.10.98 to 29.10.98 (2nd. call)
10. Date of bid opening : 5.11.98
11. No. of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
 1. Tapas Kumar Adhikary, Burdwan.
 2. Westing House Saxby Framer Ltd. Calcutta.
 3. Kalna Engineering Co., Kalna, Bardhaman.
 4. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Radha Nagar; Sasthitala, Bardhaman.
 5. M/s. Chatterjee Construction, East Kenda Colony P.O.-Kenda, Bardhaman.
 6. M/s. Maya, 18, Parkus Road, Bardhaman.
12. Number of bids received : 6 nos.

13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
----------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------------------

1.
2.
3.

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Chatterjee Construction	Rs. 41,88,616/-
2. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 42,39,029/-
3. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 42,43,166/-
4. Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs. 43,32,596/-
5. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 43,79,823/-
6. M/s. Maya	Rs. 48,84,339/-

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Chatterjee Construction	Rs. 41,88,616/-
2. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 42,39,029/-
3. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 42,43,166/-
4. Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs. 43,32,596/-
5. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 43,79,823/-
6. M/s. Maya	Rs. 48,84,339/-

(If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18)

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

- (a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :
- (b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :
- (c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :
- (d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for rejection/re-invitation?
If so, state in brief
- i) IFB published in the newspapers was not in the format as given in the bidding documents.
 - ii) The bid documents were sold for 7 days, from August 17, 1998 to August 20, 1998 and October 27, 1998 to October 29, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
 - iii) Date of bid opening was November 5, 1998 while as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding documents should have been made available for sale till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids, whereas the documents were sold upto October 29, 1998 only.
 - iv) Rejection and rebidding of this group was done without prior approval and clearance from the Bank.
 - v) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt. of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on February 3, 1999.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

- (a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices?
(Please list) :
- (b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation? :
- To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.
- (c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons. :

19. GENERAL

- (Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation) :
- The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forthcoming rebidding process.

Signature.....

Name...(G. Das)

Designation... **Superintending Engineer**
West Circle, M. E. Div.
Department of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

Date July 15, 99

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group I of Notice no. 2/RCH/ASAN
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza-Asansol, Ward No. 27, J.L. No. 20 and Mouza-Nabaghanty, Ward No. 43, under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, (Gr.I of bid notice No. 2/RCH/ASAN).
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : MBD cleared on 28.4.98
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 15.9.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 14.9.98 |
| 3. Aajkal | 14.9.98 |
| 4. Ganasakti | 14.9.98 |
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 21.9.98 to 24.9.98
10. Date of bid opening : 5.11.98
11. No. of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
1. Tapas Kumar Adhikary, Burdwan.
 2. Westing House Saxby Framer Ltd. Calcutta.
 3. Kalna Engineering Co., Kalna, Bardhaman.
 4. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Radha Nagar, Sasthitala, Bardhaman.
 5. M/s. Chatterjee Construction, East Kenda Colony P.O.-Kenda, Bardhaman.
 6. Muktipada Paul, Dr.R.R.Road, J.M.Lane, PO.- Raniganj, Dist- Bardhaman.
 7. Bibhuti Shaw, Bardhaman.
12. Number of bids received : 7 nos.

13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
----------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------------------

1.
2.
3.

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs.29,58,256/-
2. Muktipada Paul	Rs.30,04,457/-
3. Bibhuti Shaw	Rs.30,07,474/-
4. Chatterjee Construction	Rs30,22,945/-
5. Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs31,25,479/-
6. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs31,30,924/-
7. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs33,31,582/-

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs.29,58,256/-
2. Muktipada Paul	Rs.30,04,457/-
3. Bibhuti Shaw	Rs.30,07,474/-
4. Chatterjee Construction	Rs30,22,945/-
5. Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs31,25,479/-
6. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs31,30,924/-
7. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs33,31,582/-

(If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18)

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

(a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :

(b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :

(c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :

(d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for rejection/re-invitation?
If so, state in brief

- : i) IFB published in the newspapers was not in the format as given in the bidding documents.
- ii) The bid documents were sold for 4 days, from September 21, 1998 to September 24, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
- iii) Date of bid opening was November 5, 1998 while as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding documents should have been made available for sale till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids, whereas the documents were sold upto September 24, 1998 only.
- iv) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt. of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on February 3, 1999

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices?
(Please list)

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

- :
: To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

19. GENERAL

(Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

- : The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forecoming rebidding process.

Date July 15,99

Signature.....

Name (G. Das)

Designation.....

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dept.
Department of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group II of Notice no. 2/RCH/ASAN
5. Description of works : Construction of 1 no. ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Sitla, Ward No. 28, J.L. No. 21 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, (Gr.II of bid notice No. 2/RCH/ASAN).
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs.37,52,000 based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : No.
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of News papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 15.9.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 14.9.98 |
| 3. Aajkal | 14.9.98 |
| 4. Ganasakti | 14.9.98 |
9. Date the documents were made available for sale : From 21.9.98 to 24.9.98
10. Date of bid opening : 5.11.98
11. No. of prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (Attach a list giving names) :
1. Tapas Kumar Adhikary, Burdwan.
 2. Westing House Saxby Framer Ltd. Calcutta.
 3. Kalna Engineering Co., Kalna, Bardhaman.
 4. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Radha Nagar, Sasthitala, Bardhamanm.
 5. M/s. Chatterjee Construction, East Kenda Colony P.O.-Kenda, Bardhaman.
12. Number of bids received : 5 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
1.			
2.			
3.			

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1 Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs.41,78,517/-
2. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd	Rs.42,39,029/-
3. Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs.42,56,690/-
4. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs.43,79,823/-
5. Chatterjee Construction	Rs.48,38,587/-

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1 Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs.41,78,517/-
2. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd	Rs.42,39,029/-
3. Tapas Kumar Adhikary	Rs.42,56,690/-
4. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs.43,79,823/-
5. Chatterjee Construction	Rs.48,38,587/-

(If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18)

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

(a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :

(b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :

(c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :

(d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for rejection/re-invitation?
If so, state in brief

- : i) IFB published in the newspapers was not in the format as given in the bidding documents.
- ii) The bid documents were sold for 4 days, from September 21, 1998 to September 24, 1998 against the minimum requirement of 30 days.
- iii) Date of bid opening was November 5, 1998 while as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding documents should have been made available for sale till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids, whereas the documents were sold upto September 24, 1998 only.
- iv) Evaluation reports were sent from MOHFW, Govt. of India to World Bank during March, 1999 after expiry of the bid validity period on February 3, 1999.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the Reasons for high bid prices?
(Please list)

:

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

- : To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

:

19. GENERAL

(Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

- : The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forecoming rebidding process.

Date July 15, 99...

Signature.....

Name (G. Das)

Designation.....
Superintending Engineer
 West Circle.. M. E. Dns.
 Department of Municipal Affairs
 Govt. of West Bengal

15/7/99

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhawan, 1st Floor, Salt Lake City, Cal-91
=====

No. ME/ /

Dated, the July '99.

From : The Chief Engineer,
M. E. Directorate.

To : Dr. G.N.V. Ramana,
Public Health Specialist,
World Bank.

J.R. Ma

*He may connect with
Dr. Ramana's letter
in two weeks before
meeting on 18/7/99
and find out
if there is
any revision
for 18/7/99*

Sub : Discussions on RCH Asansol and
IPP-VIII inspection projects.

S i r,

Kindly find enclosed herewith a copy of notes containing
the salient points of discussions we had recently in Calcutta on
10.7.99 and ~~xxx~~ over phone on 12.7.99 for your kind perusal and
a word in confirmation.

Yours faithfully,

Enclo : As stated
=====

(S. K. Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer, MED.
=====

No. ME/522/1⁽⁵⁾/45-37/97PTI

Dated, the 15th July '99.

Copy with a copy of the enclosures forwarded for information
& necessary action to :

1. The Secretary, Deptt. of Municipal Affairs.
2. Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay, Advisor(Health), SUDA.
3. The Superintending Engineer,
West/North/South Circle, MED.

S. K. Mukherjee

(S. K. Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer, MED
=====

15.7.99

DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Date 08.7.99

O/C

Ref No.

Received Letter No. SUDA-120/96(P11D)/57
from The Adviser, Health, State Urban Development Agency (SUDA),
West Bengal, Salt Lake City, HC-Block, Sector-III, Calcutta-91
Addressed to: *M. S. Ghosh, Chief Engineer, SUDA*
Bikash Bhattacharya, Salt Lake City
Dated: 7.7.99
Dated: 09/09.7.99

dhya

eer,

utes of the meeting of RCH Sub-Projects
ld on 5-7th May 1999 in Delhi with
State Governments and World Bank
view Mission

herewith the minutes of the meeting*
or your kind perusal and taking

Yours faithfully,

N.G. Gangopadhyay
(Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay)

Enclo: * As stated above.

SALIENT POINTS OF DISCUSSIONS WITH
DR. G.N.V. RAMANA, PUBLIC HEALTH
SPECIALIST, WORLD BANK IN CONNECTION
WITH RCH ASANSOL AND IPP-VIII EXTEN-
SION.

A meeting was held with Dr. G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist, World Bank on 10.7.99 at Unnayan Bhawan, Salt Lake in connection with procurement modalities for RCH Asansol and IPP-VIII extension projects. Smt. N. Chatterjee, Secretary, CMDA was present during the discussions. Other officials present in the meeting were :

1. Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay, Advisor (Health), SUDA.
2. Dr. R.N. Kar, Project Officer, SUDA.
3. Dr. Smt. S. Goswami, Health Manager, SUDA.
4. Shri S.K. Mukherjee, Chief Engineer, MED.
5. Shri G. Das, Superintending Engineer, West Circle, MED.
6. Shri K. Bhowmick, Superintending Engineer, North Circle, MED.

Subsequent to the discussions in the meeting Shri Ramana had a discussion over telephone with Chief Engineer, MED on 12.7.99 evening. Salient points which emerged from the meeting and subsequent telephonic discussions are enumerated below :

A. RCH ASANSOL

1) The recommendations sent from MED for rejection and reinvitation of bids in appropriate format have been received in the World Bank. It was seen that reasons for rejection were not explicitly mentioned in the communication from MED. As such, it was suggested that fresh proposals for rejection of the bids already invited and restricted reinvitation should be submitted to the Bank with proper reasons etc.

2) It was clarified that cases of all bids which are proposed to be rejected and reinvited should be sent to the Bank.

3) For invitation of fresh bids from the original purchasers, it was clarified that while a communication in the shape of a letter to the original applicants requesting for fresh bids will serve the purpose, the prescribed time frame for bid period should be followed. No relaxation is admissible to reduce the time involved.

4) Bid process following the prescribed norms could be initiated for the remaining civil works also. This process cannot, however, commence till the prior review for the first 3 cases are

complete and cleared by the Bank.

B. IPP-VIII

1) It was re-confirmed that bids are to be invited in W-1 and W-2 format since received from the Bank.

2) Regarding invitation of bids it was suggested both by Dr. Ramana and Smt. Chatterjee, Secretary, CMDA that as the agreement between the Bank and the Govt. of India is likely to be executed by the end of July, bids may better not be invited before that. But it was clarified that as suggested in the Aid Memoire, we have already invited bids for the towns of Burdwan and Durgapur which are to be received on 30.8.99. However, after detailed discussions it was decided that invitation of bids for the other towns may pend till a clearance from the Bank is obtained. For the bids already invited the bid period may be extended if situations demand.

3) It was informed by MED that the justified cost for the buildings in Darjeeling come much higher than what are sanctioned in the project report. It was clarified that so long as we have necessary justifications for the increased estimated cost no seperate approval from the Bank is necessary. We may, however, intimate the Bank for information only.

4) It was also clarified that there are no Bank norms regarding the extent of variation from the sanctioned/justified cost that could be accepted in the matter of selection of successful bidder.

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Blkash Bhavan, South Block, 1st Floor, Bidhannagar, Cal-91

No. ME/ / Dated, the July, '99

To
Sri Mam Chand,
Procurement Disbursement and Audit Unit,
World Bank,
New Delhi.

Sub : R.C.H. Project (IPT-018-IN)
- Procurement.

S I R,

Please refer to your letter dated May 28, 1999 wherein you have returned the Draft NCB document for construction of one ESOPD unprocessed with the advice of amending the same and attached a copy of Bank's model document below US \$100,000 equivalent M-1/ for adoption.

But it is understood that NCB documents applicable for RCH Project is M-1/IPT/RCH as per letter dated July 13, 1999 of Sri Anil Bhandari, Operations Adviser.

Accordingly we are amending the NCB documents relating to a specific work.

Now considering the threshold limit of the contract first three cases will require prior review by the Bank. Aforesaid letter of Sri Anil Bhandari communicates approval of two NCB documents relating to two groups of HAV. The NCB document for another group of HAV was cleared on April 27, 1999 (2) as per your letter dated July 12, 1999.

Please advise whether the amended NCB documents for construction of E.S.O.P.D. cum M.H. will need prior clearance from your end as well.

Awaiting a reply by fax.

Yours faithfully,

sd/-

(S. K. MUKHERJEE)
CHIEF ENGINEER.

No. ME/ / Dated, the July, 1999

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to Sri G. Das, S.E. West Circle. - This in reference to his notes dated 15/7/99.

sd/-

CHIEF ENGINEER
M.E.D.

No. ME/582/2/45-37/97 I
Dated, the 22nd July, 1999

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay, Adviser (Health), SUDA.

CHIEF ENGINEER
M.E.D.

Mukherjee

22.7.99

Ravi Bhanu

4/18

MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE

Deptt. Of Municipal Affairs
Govt. Of W.B.

Bikash Bhavan † **South Block (1 St Floor)** † **Salt Lake**,
Calcutta - 700091

Fax : 337-5474
Telephone : 337-1331
337-5472
337-5473

No. ME./ 470/ 4S-37/97

Dated : 8.7.99

From : Chief Engineer,
M. E. Dte.

To: Sri Anil Bhandari,
Operations Advisor,
World Bank, New Delhi.

S. R. Nayak
Rest Asansol file
10/8

Sub: Implementation of RCH Programme at Asansol
Civil Procurement Works.

Ref. : Your letter dated 24.6.99

Sir,

In response to your above, the undersigned is to forward herewith the recommendations for rejection of all the bids invited in respect of the aforesaid works in the prescribed format for the following 3 groups of works under process of prior review at your end : -

Group I - Construction of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza Santa (J.L. No. 20) & Mouza Kulapur (J.L. No. 14) vide bid Notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.


Group II - Construction of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza Mohisilla (J.L. No. 37) vide bid Notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.

Group III - Construction of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza Kalipahari (J.L. No. 36) & Mouza Asansol (J.L. No. 20) vide bid Notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN

In regards reinvitation of the bids, I am to refer to your attention towards the recommendations vide this office previous Memo No. ME/394/4S-37/97 dated 21.6.99 for confinement of the rebidding process within the previous respective applicants for each group of works in order to avoid further time as well as cost over runs.

The stated recommendations for rejection and subsequent recommendations for rebidding of the NCBs in question may kindly be accepted at an early date.

Yours faithfully,



Chief Engineer
M. E. Dte.

No. ME./ 470/ 1(7)/ 4S-37/97

Dated : 8.7.99

Copy forwarded for information to :-

1. Sri Mam Chand, Sr. Procurement Engineer, World Bank, New Delhi.
2. Dr. G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist, HNP Divn., World Bank, New Delhi.
3. Sri E. J. Jos, under Secretary & to the Govt. of India (Area Project), Deptt. of Family Welfare, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
4. Sri A. K. Mehra, Jt. Director, MOHFW, Nirman Bhavan, Govt. of India.
5. Dr. N.G. Gangopadhya, Advisor (Health), SUDA.
6. The S. E. West Circle, M. E. Dte.
7. Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Asansol.


Chief Engineer
M. E. Dte.

08.7.99

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group I
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza-Santa, R.S. Plot No. 2780, Ward No. 42, (J.L. 20) and Mouza- Kulpur, R.S. Plot No. 484, Ward No. 49 (J.L. No. 14) under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, Gr.I of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : Yes, on 28.4.98
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of news Papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date of documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98
10. Date of bid opening : 25.9.98
11. No. prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (attached a list giving names) :
- | |
|--|
| 1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.
17, Convent Road, Calcutta - 14. |
| 2. Muktipada Paul,
Dr. R.R. Road, J.M. Lane,
P.O. Raniganj, Dist. Burdwan. |
12. Number of bids received : 2 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
1.			
2.			
3.			

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
2. Muktipada Paul	Rs. 29,91,293.00

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
2. Muktipada Paul	Rs. 29,91,293.00

If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information

: No.

(a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now?

:

(b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered

:

(c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates?

:

(d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them?

:

17. Are there any other reason for
rejection/re-invitation?

If so, state in brief

: The bank vide their letter dt. 28.5.99 regretted to offer
no objection for awarding of contracts.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the
Reasons for high bid prices?
(Please list)

:

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

: To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

:

19. GENERAL

(Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

: The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forecoming rebidding process.

Date.....

Signature.....

Name.....

Designation.....

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - IN
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group II
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. IIAU at Mouza-Mohishilla, R.S. Plot No. 599, Ward No. 42, J.L. No. 37 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, Gr.II of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : Submitted vide memo no. ME/394/4S-37/97 dt.21.6.99 for the clearance.
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of news Papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date of documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98
10. Date of bid opening : 25.9.98
11. No. prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (attached a list giving names) :
1. Bibhuti Shaw, Burdwan.
 2. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Burdwan.
 3. Kalna Engineering Co., Kalna, Burdwan.
 4. Westing House Sazby Farmer Ltd., Calcutta.
12. Number of bids received : 4 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
----------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------------------

1.

2.

3.

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 29,35,907.00
2. Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
3. Bibhuti Shaw	Rs. 29,52,904.00
4. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 38.31,806.00

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria :

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 29,35,907.00
2. Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
3. Bibhuti Shaw	Rs. 29,52,904.00
4. Kalna Engineering Co.	Rs. 38.31,806.00

If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

(a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :

(b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :

(c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :

(d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for
rejection/re-invitation?
If so, state in brief :

The bank vide their letter dt. 28.5.99 regretted to offer
no objection for awarding of contracts.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the
Reasons for high bid prices?
(Please list) :

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

: To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

:

19. GENERAL

(Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

: The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forecoming rebidding process.

Date.....

Signature.....

Name.....

Designation.....

**FORMAT FOR SEEKING BANK'S CLEARANCE FOR
REJECTION OF BIDS AND RE-INVITATION FOR
CIVIL WORKS**

1. Credit/Loan Number : ITF - 018 - 1N
2. Name of the Project : INDIA REPRODUCTIVE & CHILD HEALTH PROJECT
3. Name of the Sub-Project : R.C.H. sub-project, Asansol
4. Package No. : Group III
5. Description of works : Construction of a group of 2 nos. HAU at Mouza-Kali Pahari, Ward No. 20 J.L. No. 36 and Mouza-Asansol, Ward No. 19, J.L. No. 20 under Asansol Municipal Corporation in the District of Burdwan of the State of West Bengal, Gr.III of bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN.
6. Estimated cost (State also the year of schedule of rates on which the estimate is based) : Rs. 22,82,220.00, based on 1996-97 P.W.D. schedule of rates.
7. Was the document cleared with the bank? If so give the date of clearance : Submitted vide memo no. ME/394/4S-37/97 dt. 21.6.99 for clearance
8. Publication of IFB (Give names of news Papers and dates of Publication) :
- | <u>Name of Paper</u> | <u>Date of Publication</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Ananda Bazar Patrika | 1.8.98 |
| 2. The Statesman | 3.8.98 |
| 3. The Telegraph | 1.8.98 |
| 4. Bartaman | 1.8.98 |
| 5. Aajkal | 1.8.98 |
| 6. Ganasakti | 1.8.98 |
9. Date of documents were made available for sale : From 17.8.98 to 20.8.98
10. Date of bid opening : 25.9.98
11. No. prospective bidders who purchased the bidding documents (attached a list giving names) :
1. Tapas Kumar Hazra, Burdwan.
 2. Westing House Saxby Framer Ltd. Calcutta.
 3. Muktipada Paul, Raniganj, Burdwan.
12. Number of bids received : 3 nos.
13. Bid rejected as non-responsive : Nil.

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Brief reasons for rejection</u>
----------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------------------

1.

2.

3.

14. List of substantially responsive bids
Showing ranking

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Muktipada Paul	Rs. 29,29,673.00
2. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
3. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 36,04,902.00

15. List of bids which meet the specified
Qualification criteria

<u>Name</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>
1. Muktipada Paul	Rs. 29,29,673.00
2. M/S Westing House Sexby Farmer Ltd.	Rs. 29,46,068.00
3. Tapas Kumar Hazra	Rs. 36,04,902.00

If all bids are non-responsive as per Sl. No. 13 & 15. Skip to Sl. No. 18

16. If the bids are being proposed for
rejection due to higher rates, furnish
the following information : No.

(a) Did you update the estimates for
the current market rates for labour
materials (cement/steel etc.), actual
leads of materials and construction
methodology propose now? :

(b) If yes, indicate the updated cost
along with break-up for each
factor considered :

(c) Did you identify the item or items
and factors which contributed
to the major variation over the
updated estimates? :

(d) Did you seek clarification inclu-
ding breakdowns of units rates for
the items and factors so identified?
If yes, what are the reasons indica-
ted by the bidders and what is
your determination on them? :

17. Are there any other reason for
rejection/re-invitation?

If so, state in brief : The bank vide their letter dt. 28.5.99 regretted to offer
no objection for awarding of contracts.

18. RECOMMENDATION FOR REJECTION/RE-INVITATION OF BIDS

(a) In your view what are the
Reasons for high bid prices?
(Please list) :

(b) What measures you propose to take to ensure better competition and receive competitive bid prices in such re-invitation?

: To confine the re-invitation process of the NCB among the original applicants only for this group of work against the previous bid notice.

(c) Do you propose any revision in the scope of work/change of specification/size of bid package for such re-invitation? If Yes, please list your proposal along with reasons.

:

19. GENERAL

(Any other relevant information in support of your recommendation)

: The recommendation is made to avoid possibility of further time as well as cost overruns in the forecoming rebidding process.

Date.....

Signature.....

Name.....

Designation.....

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhavan : Salt Lake : Calcutta-91.

No. ME/

Dated :

From : The Chief Engineer,
M.E.Directorate.

To : Mr. Mam Chand,
Sr. Procurement Engineer,
World Bank,
70, Lodhi Estate,
New Delhi - 3.

Sub : Reproductive and Child Health Project
at Asansol.(ITF-018-IN)-Procurement.

Ref : Your letter dt. 28.5.99.

S i r,

Your observations and comments regarding the evaluation reports in respect of the Civil works for construction of a group of two no.H.A.U. at (i) Mouza Santa/Kulapur(J.L.No. 20 & 14) (ii) J.L.No.37) and (iii) Mouza Kalipahari/Asansol(J.L.No.36 & 20) have been noted.

In compliance with your remarks, I am now to suggest for cancellation of all the bids invited vide Bid Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN 2/RCH/ASAN and to go in for fresh NCB for all works of 6 groups under the aforesaid bid notices.

In order to avoid the possibility of further time overrun and consequent cost overrun due to such rebidding, it is proposed further to restrict the bidding amongst the bidders who have originally applied for participation in the specific six groups of works as per previous bid notices.

The NCB documents for the first three cases needing prior review as per contract value for the groups of works mentioned in the 1st para are submitted alongwith for necessary clearance from your end. I am to request you further to kindly send us a draft copy of W-2/ITF, which will be applicable for works costing more than Rs. 3 million.

Expecting a early response in the interest of works.

Enclo : As stated.

Yours faithfully,

SD/-

(S. K. Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer
M.E.Dte.

No. ME/394/1(7) 45-37/97

Dated : 21-6-99

Copy forwarded for information to : -

1. Shri A. Bhandari, Operation Adviser,
World Bank, New Delhi.
2. Dr. G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist,
H.N.P. Division.
3. Shri A.K.Mehra, Joint Director,
MOHFW, Govt. of India.
4. The Secretary, Municipal Affairs Department,
Govt. of West Bengal.



5. Dr. N.G.Gangopadhyay, S U D A.
6. The Superintending Engineer, West Circle, M.E.Dte.
7. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.

S. K. Mukherjee
(S. K. Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer
M.E.Dte.

21.6.99

Dr. R.N. Kar
may be
Asansol file pl
20/6/99

Asansol - file subsequently
20/6/99

PS. my h taken
pl. diff. (concerned)
20/6/99
manjira

The World Bank

INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT
INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

New Delhi Office
70 Lodi Estate
New Delhi-110003, India

Telephone: 4617241/ 4619491
Cable Address: INTBAFRAD
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 416
Telex: 3161493 IBRD IN
Facsimile: (11) 4619393

July 26, 1999

Mr. Gautam Das
Superintending Engineer
(West Circle)
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Government of West Bengal
Deptt. Of Municipal Affairs
Patal Bazar, 3rd floor, Tinkonia, Burdwan.


Dear Mr. Das:

**INDIA: Reproductive and Child Health Project (ITF - 018 - IN)
- Procurement of civil works under RCH Sub-Project - Asansol.**

Thank you for your letter dated July 13 and fax of July 15, 1999. Based on the information provided and circumstances explained, we have no objection to your proposal to reject all bids and solicit bids afresh from those bidders only who had originally purchased the bidding documents in respect of civil works as per lit attached.

With regards,

Sincerely yours,


for Anil Bhandari
Operations Adviser

LIST OF CIVIL WORKS

Bid Notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN

Mr. Gautam Das

1. Gr.I Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Santa (J.L.No.20) & Mouza Kulapur (J.L.No. 14).
2. Gr.II Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Mohisilla (J.L.No.37).
3. Gr.III Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Kalipahari (J.L.No.36) & Mouza Asansol (J.L.No.20).
4. Gr.IV Construction of 1 No. ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Ismail (J.L.No.22), R.S. Plot No. 235, Ward No. 9.

Bid Notice No. 2/RCH/ASAN

5. Gr.I Construction of 2 Nos. HAU at Mouza-Asansol, Ward No.27 (J.L.No. 20) & Mouza-Nabaghanty, Ward No.43.
6. Gr.II Construction of 1 No. ESOPD-cum-MH at Mouza-Sitla (J.L.No.21), R.S. Plot No. 1325, Ward No.28.

July 1999

The World Bank

INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT
INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

New Delhi Office
70 Lodi Estate
New Delhi-110003, India

Telephone: 4617241/ 4619491
Cable Address: INTBAFRAD
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 416
Telex: 3161493 IBRD IN
Facsimile: (11) 4619393

July 13, 1999

Mr. S.K. Mukherjee
Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Deptt. Of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal
Bikash Bhavan
1st floor, South Block
Salt Lake
Calcutta 700 091

*Asansol
Civil work
18/8*

3753
19-7-99
R

Dear Mr. Mukherjee :

**INDIA : Reproductive and Child Health Project (ITF - 018 - IN)
- Procurement of Civil Works.**

Thank you for your letter dated June 21, 1999 enclosing draft NCB documents for construction of 2 nos. HAU at (i) Mouza Mohishilla; and (ii) Mouza Kalipahari, Asansol. We have reviewed the draft documents and have no objection to the same provided :

- modifications listed in the attachment to this letter are fully incorporated;
- bid invitation notice is published in the national press having wide circulation in the country; and
- a minimum bidding time of 30 days from the date of publication of bid invitation in the press or, the date the documents are made available for sale, whichever is later, is allowed.

With regards,

Sincerely yours,

Anil Bhandari
Operations Adviser

*Copy along with the enclosure
in original to the S.E., West Circle,
MED for his n.a.*

*W/O M E/87/99
dt 20/7/99.*

20/7/99

*SE(HA) may be
SE(W) improved.
Attachment: 11/19/7*

9. **Section 4 : Contract Data :**

9.1 Clause 1.1

Delete the words "Deptt. Of Mpl. Affairs".

9.2 Clause 27 – Submission of Revised Program :

Substitute the figure "45" with the figure "15".

9.3 Clause 13 : Minimum Insurance :

Substitute the figure "0.15 million" with the figure "0.20 million".

9.4 Clause 58 – The date by which operating and maintenance manuals are required :

Delete this clause.

9.5 Clause 58 : Amount withheld for non-submission of 'as built' drawings.

Substitute "45000" with "100,000".

9.6 Delete footnote starting with asterisk mark "~~Strike out~~".

10. **Section 9 – Eligibility :**

Change this Section as per W-1 /ITF / RCH.

3.4 Clause 4.5(A)(d), line 3 :

Remove double asterisk mark and delete the words "1998-99 price level".

3.5 Note at page 9 starting with "@ at"

(i) Line 1 : Fill in the blank with the figure "1999-2000".

(ii) Line 2 : Substitute the figure "1998-99" with "1999-2000".

4. Clause 4.7 :

(i) Definition of "A" & "B" :

Substitute the figures "1998-99" with the figures "1999-2000".

5. Clause 15.3 :

Delete the words "(value of Factor B)".

6. Clause 20.1 :

Modify the date to September 2, 1999.

7. Clause 23.1, line 3 :

Fill in the blank.

8. **Section 2 -- Forms of Bid etc.**

8.1 **Qualification Information :**

(i) Clauses 1.2 & 1.3 :

Remove double asterisk marks "***".

(ii) Clause 1.2 :

Modify the words and bring them at par with the model document W-1/ITF/RCH (attached).

COMMENTS ON DRAFT NCB DOCUMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF

- (i) 2 Nos. HAU AT MOUZA MOHISHILLA; AND
- (ii) 2 Nos. HAU AT MOUZA KALIPAHARI, ASANSOL (W.B.)

1. General

1.1 Please ensure that (i) Section 1 – Instructions to Bidders; (ii) Section 2 : Forms of Bid, Qualification Information, Letter of Acceptance, Notice to proceed with the work and Agreement Form; (iii) Section 3 : Conditions of Contract, except Special Conditions of Contract; and (iv) Section 8 – Forms of Securities are adopted as per Bank's New Delhi Office model document No. W-1/ITF/RCH (civil works costing US \$ 100,000 equivalent or below) without any change in terms and conditions stipulated therein.

1.2 We are reviewing only the following portions of the bidding documents :

- (i) Invitation of Bids;
- (ii) ITB Clauses 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 13.4;
- (iii) Special Conditions of Contract under Section 3 – Conditions of Contract;
- (iv) Section 4 – Contract Data;
- (v) Section 5 - Specifications;
- (vi) Section 6 - Drawings and
- (vii) Section 7 - Bill of Quantities.

2. Invitation for Bids (IFB)

2.1 Clause 2, line 2 :

Substitute the words "any or slices" with the words "the following works".

2.2 Since documents will be sold upto September 1, 1999 bids should be received and opened on September 2, 1999.

3. Section I : Instructions to Bidders (IFB)

3.1 Clause 4.5 (A)(a) :

- (i) Substitute the figure "30 lakh" with the figure "7.6 million".
- (ii) Delete the words "(usually not contract)"

3.2 Clause 4.5 (A)(b) :

Delete the words "(usually not contract)"

3.3 Delete the footnote at page 8 starting with "* Specify.....".

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No. SUDA-120/96(Pt-II)/92

Date 04.8.99

From: Dr. N.G.Gangopadhyay
Adviser (Health)
S. U. D. A

To: The Chief Engineer
M. E. D
Bikash Bhavan
Salt Lake City

Sub: Reproductive and Child Health Project- Asansol


Sir,

I am sending herewith a copy of letter (enclosed) No. *
L-19012/3/99-AP 1 dated 20.7.99, of Mr. S. Samaddar, Desk
Officer Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Department of
Family Welfare , Govt. of India on the above subject.

This is for your kind information and taking necessary
action.

* Enclo: As stated above.

Yours faithfully,


(Dr. N.G.Gangopadhyay)

Speed Post



No.L.19012/3/99-AP.I
Govt. of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
Department of Family Welfare
(AP-I Desk)

Dated: 20.7.99

To

Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser (Health), SUDA
H.C. Block, Sector-3
Salt Lake,
Calcutta-700091

S. R. Kumar

*He may find
a xerox copy of
CE MED forms
of na.
4/8*

Sub: Reproductive and Child Health Project-Asansole.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to World Bank letter dtd. May 28, 1999 (copy enclosed) wherein the World Bank has regretted to offer no objection to your procurement proposal because of certain discrepancies in the Evaluation Report of Civil Works.

It is requested that the discrepancies pointed out by World Bank may please be rectified and revised procurement plan for Civil Works may please be forwarded to the Ministry for getting clearance from the World Bank.

Yours faithfully,

(S. Samaddar)
Desk Officer

May 28, 1999

Mr. S.K. Mukherjee
Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Deptt. Of Municipal Affairs
Bikash Bhavan
1st floor, South Block
Salt Lake
Calcutta 700 091
Govt. of West Bengal.


Dear Mr. Mukherjee :

**INDIA : Reproductive and Child Health Project (ITF - 018 - IN)
- Procurement.**

Thank you for your letter dated May 18, 1999 regarding civil works for construction of a group of two HAU at (i) Mouza Santa / Kulapur (JL No. 20 & 14); (ii) Mouza Mohishilla (JL No. 37); and (iii) Mouza Kaliphari / Asansol (JL No. 36 & 20). Based on the information provided, we regret we cannot offer our no objection to your proposal to award the contracts and our comments are attached.

With regards,

Sincerely yours,



Anil Bhandari
Operations Adviser

Attachment :

Cc : Mr. E.J. Jos, Under Secretary to the Government of India (Area Project), Department of Family Welfare, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi - 110 011.

COMMENTS ON EVALUATION REPORTS OF CIVIL WORKS

1. As per paragraph 2(a) of Part D, Section I, Schedule 3 of the Interim Fund Development Credit Agreement, the first three contracts for works estimated to cost the equivalent of US \$ 25,000 or more each (but upto \$ 300,000 equivalent) and each contract costing more than US \$ 300,000 shall be prior reviewed by the Bank. Although evaluation reports pertain to three civil works for construction of a group of 2 HAU at (i) Mouza Santa / Kulapur (JL No. 20 & 14); (ii) Mouza Mohishilla (JL No. 37); and (iii) Mouza Kaliphari / Asansol (JL No. 36 & 20), only one NCB document was got cleared with the Bank.
2. IFB published in the newspapers is not in the format as given in the bidding documents.
3. The bid documents have been sold from August 17, 1998 to August 20, 1998 i.e. for 4 days only against the requirement of minimum of 30 days.
4. Date of bid opening was September 21, 1998 while as per Bank's procurement guidelines bidding documents should be made available for sale till a day prior to the last date of receipt of bids but bid documents were sold upto August 20, 1998 only.
5. Bid opening date has been extended for 4 days only (from September 21, 1998 to September 25, 1998) against the requirement of minimum 30 days.
6. Bids have already expired on December 30, 1998 and February 3, 1999 and are no more available for acceptance.



Tele Phone : 337-1331

FAX NO. : 337-5474

CHIEF ENGINEER

Municipal Engineering Directorate
Municipal Affairs Department,
Bikash Bhavan, 1st floor, South Block
Salt Lake, Calcutta-700 091
Govt. of West Bengal.

D. O. No.

From : Shri S.K. Mukherjee,
Chief Engineer,
M.E. Dte.

To : Mr. Mamchand,
Sr. Procurement Engineer,
70, Lodhi Estate,
World Bank,
New Delhi-110003.

Sub : Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol
(ITF-018-1N) Procurement of Civil Works.

Ref : Letter dated 19.04.99 from Sri Anil Bhandari,
Operation Adviser, World Bank.

Sir,

In response to your above the undersigned is to furnish replies against the observations categorically in the enclosed statement.

In this connection, this is to state that this is first job of Procurement of Civil Works under World Bank assisted program undertaken by this Directorate and this directorate was not properly conversant with the relevant Bank rules. As a result, the anomalies as stated under Sl. No.3 & 4 has occurred.

Put up for your consideration and appropriate advice for further action in this regards.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Self
(S. K. Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer
M.E. Directorate.

NO: ME/203/1(7) // 2 // 45-42/99-5

Dated: 18-5-99

Copy forwarded for information to :

1. Shri A. Bhandari, Operation Adviser, World Bank, New Delhi.
2. Dr. G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist, H.N.P. Division.
3. Shri A.K. Mehra, Joint Director, MOHFW, Govt. of India.
- ✓ 4. The Secretary, Municipal Affairs Department, Govt. of West Bengal.
5. Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay, S U D A.
6. The Superintending Engineer, West Circle, M.E.Dte.
7. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.



*may kindly
check at his
convenience.
Am/5*

V. Mukherjee

Chief Engineer
M. E. Directorate

=====
Chief Engineer,
M. E. DTE.

Deptt. of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

18/5/99

*Dr. N-G-S,
SUDA*

SERIAL NO.1.

A copy of Bid document in respect of each group of HAU (2 No.) and E.S.O.P.D. cum M.H. (One unit) is enclosed herewith vide Ann. I(A) & I(B). The M B D for a group of 2 units of H A U has already been cleared by the Bank on 28.4.98. But the M B D for E.S.O.P.D. cum M.H. needs to be cleared from your end. The Bid document is submitted herewith incorporating necessary corrections as per Bank norms may kindly be approved early.

SERIAL No.2.

The copy of advertisement appearing in the Newspaper is enclosed herewith (Vide Ann. II). Followings are their names :-

<u>Names of Newspapers</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Date of Publication</u>
1. Ananda Bazar Patrika	National	1.8.98
2. The Statesman	-do-	3.8.98
3. The Telegraph	-do-	1.8.98
4. Bartaman	-do-	1.8.98
5. Aajkal	-do-	1.8.98
6. Ganasakti	-do-	1.8.98

SERIAL NO.3 & 4.

The usual Govt. practice has been followed inadvertently. Although there was no application after 20.8.98, the lapse is regretted.

Contd.....p/2.

SERIAL NO.5.

The Bid opening date counted from the date of start of selling i.e. 17th August, '98 to 25th Sept., '98 is much more than the minimum period of 30 days.

SERIAL NO.6.

Validity of bid security has been indicated in the evaluation report vide clause No.6.4.

SERIAL NO.7.

The Bid validity dates as stated are agreed and the mode of calculation will be followed for further cases.

SERIAL NO.8.

Observation complied with and the revised post qualification list as per ITB clauses 4.5(a)(d) & (c) is furnished alongwith (Ann. IIIA, IIIB & IIIC).

SERIAL NO.9.

Considered accordingly.

SERIAL NO.10.

Complied with and revised calculation of Bid capacity is furnished herewith (Vide Ann.IVA, IVB & IVC).

SERIAL NO.11.

The bidder's annual turnovers have been checked and updated to the price level of 1999-2000.

SERIAL NO.12.

The Bid evaluation reports of notice No.1/RCH/ASAN, 2/RCH/ASAN & 3/RCH/ASAN were sent to MOHFW on 17.11.98 and 14.12.98 respectively. (Copy of letters enclosed vide Ann. VA & VB). The E.R.S. were sent to World Bank from MOHFW during March, '99 through their consultant. It was learnt only from World Bank on 25.3.99 that the E.R.S. were not as per proper format. Neither the MOHFW consultant nor the World Bank consultant clarified this aspect earlier. This was the first project of World Bank undertaken by this Dte. through the Ministry of Health of G.O.I. and State Govt. and it was not at all conversant with the procurement procedures and guidelines before it had direct contact with the Bank on 25th March, '98. It may kindly be appreciated that the Dte. is not responsible for the stated time over runs in the Bid validity and security periods. The statement enclosed vide Ann. No.VI will clarify this aspect.

SERIAL NO.13.

The stated lapse has already been admitted in the E.R. as well as in the forwarding letter Vide No.MED/SE(W)/20/W-51/98 dated 12.4.99 in para No.2 (Copy enclosed vide Ann. VII).

Draft

1. Communication no. L 19012/40/96-APS/AP-1(Vol-III) dated 2.1.98 from Sri. E.J.Jos under Secretary to the Govt. of India, requested for resubmission of draft NCB document after incorporating the World Bank comments.
2. Secretary to the Govt. of W.B and the Chairman SUDA vide his office memo no SUDA-120/96/1374 dated 9.3.98 resubmitted two copies of the draft NCB documents incorporating the World Bank comments.
3. Communication no.SUDA-120/96/1323 dated 16.2.98 from Adviser Health SUDA to Sri.E.J.Jos under Secretary, Govt. of India, transmitted therewith ^{two} ~~two~~ copies of each of the model plans for HAU and ESOPD cum MH, approved by World Bank apropos request of the Bank for record.

~~MED-337-54475, 337-1331, 5473~~

- 13.4.98 As per instruction of Adviser(H) the information overleaf have been transmitted through telephone to Mr. G.Das, SE on date.

[Signature]
13.4.98

MOST URGENT

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhavan, South Block, 1st floor, Bidhannagar, Cal-91

No. ME/ 27/45-37/97.

Dated, the 6th April, 1999.



✓ To
Sri N.G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser (Health),
S.U.D.A.

Sub : R.C.H. - Asansol
Bid Evaluation Report.

S i r,

I enclose herewith a copy of the notes dated 1/4/99 of the S.E., West Circle, M.E.D. on the above subject which will speak for itself.

As pointed out by him I am to request you to kindly convey the World Bank Reference No. & date in respect of their clearance for the following -

1. Approval of Model Bid Documents.
2. Approval of Bid Notice.
3. Approval of drawings of HAU, ESOPD cum MH & Medical Store.
4. Approval of Bill of quantities of HAU and ESOPD Cum MH.

Since the same are not available in this office records and since the same are necessary inputs of the Bid Evaluation Report an early action is invited from your end.

Yours faithfully,

S. K. Mukherjee
(S. K. MUKHERJEE)
CHIEF ENGINEER.

Encl : As stated.

06.4.99

Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay,
Adv (Health), SUDA
1/2/98

351/98

NO.L.19012/40/96-APS/AP.I(VOL.III)
Government of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Department of Family Welfare)



New Delhi, 2nd January 1998.

To

Shri A.M.Chakrabarti,
Secretary, Municipal Affairs Department &
Chairman, SUDA,
Govt. of West Bengal,
Calcutta-700 001.

Subject: Reproductive and Child Health Project (LENP)-Procurement.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the comments of the World Bank on the modified draft NCB document for construction of two HAU in RCH Sub-project Asansol (West Bengal). You are requested to resubmit the draft NCB document after incorporating the World Bank comments at the earliest.

World Bank's comments on technical specifications and Bill of Materials are attached as under.

Yours faithfully,

(E.J.JOS)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Encl: As above.

Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay,
Adv (Health), SUDA
1/1/98

351/98

NO.L.19012/40/96-APS/AP.I(VOL.III)
Government of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Department of Family Welfare)



New Delhi, 2nd January 1998.

To

Shri A.M.Chakrabarti,
Secretary, Municipal Affairs Department &
Chairman, SUDA,
Govt. of West Bengal,
Calcutta-700 001.

Subject: Reproductive and Child Health Project (LENP)-Procurement.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the comments of the World Bank on the modified draft NCB document for construction of two HAU in RCH Sub-project Asansol (West Bengal). You are requested to resubmit the draft NCB document after incorporating the World Bank comments at the earliest.

World Bank's comments on technical specifications and bill of material the dates as sub...

Yours faithfully,

(E.J.JOS)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Encl: As above.

Government of West Bengal

Municipal Affairs Department

No. SUDA-120/96/1374

Dated: 09.03.1998

From: A. M. Chakrabarti
Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal
& Chairman, SUDA.

To : Shri E. J. Jos,
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (Deptt. of
Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi.

Sub: Reproductive and Child Health Project
(LENP) Asansol, West Bengal - Procurement

Sir,

Kindly refer to your letter no. L.19012/40/96-APS/AP.I (Vol.III) dated 2nd January, 1998, sending us the comments of World Bank on the modified Draft NCB document for Civil Works for the Asansol Reproductive and Child Health Project.

2(Two) copies of the draft NCB document incorporating the World Bank comments are re-submitted herewith for taking further action.

Enclo: As stated.

Yours faithfully,

[Handwritten signature]
9-3-98

Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal
& Chairman, SUDA.

Government of West Bengal

Municipal Affairs Department

No. SUDA-120/96/1374

Dated: 09.03.1998

From: A. M. Chakrabarti
Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal
& Chairman, SUDA.

To : Shri E. J. Jos,
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (Deptt. of
Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi.

Sub: Reproductive and Child Health Project
(LENP) Asansol, West Bengal - Procurement

Sir,

Kindly refer to your letter no. L.19012/40/96-APS/AP.I (Vol.III) dated 2nd January, 1998, sending us the comments of World Bank on the modified Draft NCB document for Civil Works for the Asansol Reproductive and Child Health Project.

2 (Two) copies of the draft NCB document incorporating the World Bank comments are re-submitted herewith for taking further action.

Enclo: As stated.

Yours faithfully,

[Handwritten signature]
9-3-98

Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal
& Chairman, SUDA.

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

'ILGUS BHAVAN'

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, SALT LAKE CITY, CALCUTTA-700 091

West Bengal

Ref. No. SUDA-120/96/1323

¹⁶
12th Feb 98

16-2-98

From : Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyaya
Adviser (Health), SUDA,
To : Shri E.J. Jos, Under Secretary to the
Govt. of India, Ministry of Health &
Family Welfare (Deptt. of Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.

Sub : Approval of standard plans for
Reproductive and Child Health
Project at Assansol, West Bengal.


Sir,

In the meeting held on 27-28 Nov. '97 in New Delhi World Bank Office it was pointed out by Shri Subhas Chakraborty, Architect Consultant of World Bank that though the plans for Health Administrative Unit, ESCPD and combined plan for ESCPD & Maternity Unit all of which were drawn up similar to the model plans approved and being used in Calcutta Metropolitan area for the IPP-VIII project, have been approved by World Bank; no copies for the same are with World Bank for reference.


As such two copies of each of the Model plans are forwarded herewith the request to send one copy of each to the World Bank for record.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,


(N.G. Gangopadhyaya) 16/2/98

Encl : As above



STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

'ILGUS BHAVAN'

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, SALT LAKE CITY, CALCUTTA-700 091

West Bengal

Ref. No. SUDA-120/96/1323

16
12th Feb Date 98

16-2-98


From : Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyaya
Adviser (Health), SUDA,
To : Shri E.J. Jos, Under Secretary to the
Govt. of India, Ministry of Health &
Family Welfare (Deptt. of Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
Sub : Approval of standard plans for
Reproductive and Child Health
Project at Assansol, West Bengal.

Sir,


In the meeting held on 27-28 Nov. '97 in New Delhi World Bank Office it was pointed out by Shri Subhas Chakraborty, Architect Consultant of World Bank that though the plans for Health Administrative Unit, ESCPD and combined plan for ESCPD & Maternity Unit all of which were drawn up similar to the model plans approved and being used in Calcutta Metropolitan area for the IPP-VIII project, have been approved by World Bank; no copies for the same are with World Bank for reference.

As such two copies of each of the Model plans are forwarded herewith the request to send one copy of each to the World Bank for record.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(N.G. Gangopadhyaya) 16/2/98

Encl : As above




MOST URGENT

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhavan, South Block, 1st floor, Bidhannagar, Cal-91

No. ME/ 27/45-37/97.

61 Dated, the 6th April 1999.



To
Sri N.G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser (Health),
S.U.D.A.

Sub : R.C.H. - Asansol
Bid Evaluation Report.

S i r,

I enclose herewith a copy of the notes dated 1/4/99 of the S.E., West Circle, M.E.D. on the above subject which will speak for itself.

As pointed out by him I am to request you to kindly convey the World Bank Reference No. & date in respect of their clearance for the following -

1. Approval of Model Bid Documents.
2. Approval of Bid Notice.
3. Approval of drawings of HAU, ESOPD cum MH & Medical Store.
4. Approval of Bill of quantities of HAU and ESOPD Cum MH.

Since the same are not available in this office records and since the same are necessary inputs of the Bid Evaluation Report an early action is invited from your end.

Yours faithfully,

S. K. Mukherjee
(S. K. MUKHERJEE)
CHIEF ENGINEER.

Enclo : As stated.

06.4.99

*already disposed
with Govt. Dir. - AE
for record by
him.*


GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL
MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING DTE.
DEPTT. OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

Sub: Implementation of RCH program at Asansol.

During my visit to World Bank office at Delhi on 25th. & 26th. March, 99, it was learnt that the evaluation reports in respect of the NCBs called vide bid notice nos: 1/RCH/ASAN, 2/RCH/ASAN, 3/RCH/ASAN are required to be submitted in the model format of World Bank. The following informations will be necessary to be furnished in the evaluation report:
World Bank reference No. & Date in respect of clearances from their end:-

- * Approval of Model bid document.
- * - do - of bid notice.
- * - do - of drawings of HAU, ESOPD cum MH, Medical Store.
- * - do - of BOQ of HAU, ESOPD cum MH

Chief
Engineer, As all the communications in this respect have been
ME DTE. dealt by SUDA, I would request for getting the afore
said informations from their end at an early date.


(G. DAS) 1/4/99.
S.E., West Circle
ME Dte.



Government of West Bengal
DEPT. OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WEST CIRCLE, M. E. DIRECTORATE,
PATAL BAZAR, 3rd FLOOR, TINKONIA, BURDWAN.
Phone No. (0342) 64323

Goutam Das, B.E., M.E., D.C.O., A.M.I.E.
Superintending Engineer

No. MED/SE(W)/28/W-51/98

Date...12-4-1999.....

To
Sri Mam Chand
Sr. Procurement Engineer
World Bank,
New Delhi.

Sub: Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol-
Clearance of N C B.

Ref : Letter dated 25.3.99 from Dr.G.N.V.
Ramana, Public Health Specialist,
H N P Division

Sir,

In compliance with the Bank observations vide the above letter, the Bid Evaluation Reports in the prescribed format in respect of the NCBS invited for 4 Groups of H A U and 2 Groups of ESOPD-cum-MH under RCH Program at Asansol are submitted herewith for your kind perusal and necessary clearance.

In this connection, the following points may kindly be taken into consideration :-

1. The validity period of Bid Securities has already expired. This can, however, be revalidated along with performance security.
2. The Bid for the construction of one unit of ESOPD cum MH vide group no.IV of Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN had to be reinvited due to detection of some typographical mistakes in the respective "Bill of quantities", leading to inability to compare and evaluate the bid rates, received in the 1st process. The reinvitation of the Bid was done vide notice no.3/RCH/ASAN with the consent of all participant bidders and the final evaluation made on the basis of responses against the same. This was, however, not referred to the Bank for prior concurrence as per Bid rejection clause of Procurement Guidelines as this Directorate was not well conversant with the Bank procedures. However, this incident is regretted and may kindly be accepted as a special case, in the interest of the works, which has already been delayed in the process.
3. The "Comparative Statement of offers" vide Annexure-IV has already been submitted through the MOHFW, Govt. of India. Hence these have not been enclosed further.
4. Publication of Bid Notices in the News Papers have been undertaken by the Information & Cultural Affairs Department, Govt. of West Bengal as per the usual Govt. procedure.
5. Exchange rate of U.S. Dollar and Rupee currencies has been considered as 1:42 on an average.



Government of West Bengal

DEPT. OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

WEST CIRCLE, M. E. DIRECTORATE,

PATAL BAZAR, 3rd FLOOR, TINKONIA, BURDWAN.

Phone No. (0342) 64323

Goutam Das, B.E., M.E., D.C.O., A.M.I.E.
Superintending Engineer

No.....

Date.....

6 The validity period of the Bids in respect of Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN and 2/RCH/ASAN has already expired on 30.12.98 and 3.2.99 respectively. An early action, will, therefore, be highly appreciated to minimise future complications.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(G. DAS.)

No: MED/SE(W)/28/1(6)/W-51/98

Dated: 12.4.99.

Copy forwarded for information to :-

1. Dr.G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist, H.N.P.Division.
2. Sri A.K.Mehra, Joint Director, MOHFW, Govt.of India.
3. The Chief Engineer, M.E.Directorate.
4. The Advisor, Health, S U D A.
5. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.
6. The Executive Engineer, Asansol Division, M.E.Directorate.

(G. DAS.)

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
(WEST CIRCLE)
M. E. DIRECTORATE.

March 25, 1999

Mr. Gautam Das
Superintending Engineer,
M.E. Directorate, Dept of Municipal Affairs,
Govt. of West Bengal
Patel Bazar
Tinkonia, Bardhaman

Dear Mr. Das,

Subject: RCH- Asansol Sub project - Evaluation of Tender Bids

This is with reference to the evaluation of tender bids for HAU (II and III) and Maternity Home cum ESOPD forwarded by MOHFW to us. Since Bank prescribed bid evaluation forms were not used we are unable to clear them. We, therefore, request you to use enclosed Bank prescribed formats for bid evaluation and forward them directly to Mr. Mam Chand, Sr. Procurement Engineer at our New Delhi office.

With kind regards,

Sincerely,



G.N.V. Ramana
Public Health Specialist
HNP Division

CC:

1. A.K. Mehra, Joint Director, Area Project Division, MOHFW, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
2. Mr. S.N. Kaul, Consultant Architect, RCH Project, Area Project Division, MOHFW, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi

SUDA

SPEED POST

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091

SUDA-120/96 (Par I) /1530 West Bengal

15.3.99

Ref No.....

Date.....

From : Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay
Advisor, HealthTo : Sri A. K. Mehera
Joint Director (Area Projects)
Govt. of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
Nirman Bhavan
New Delhi- 110 011.

Ref: Implementation / approvals for MBD's Price bids of Civil works
of Asansol, Burdwan District.


Sir,

Reference is invited to our discussion at New Delhi on 11.3.99 on the issue.

The price bids/ MBD's documents alongwith justified cost etc. has been forwarded to the World Bank for their concurrence/ approvals (vide letter No. L-19012/40/96-WF-I dated 4.3.99 of Sri S. N. Kaul, Consultant Architect, RCH Project, MDH&FW; copy enclosed).

You are requested to get in touch with World Bank for their concurrence / approvals at a very early date because of the obvious reasons, we discussed.

Yours faithfully,



Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay

SUDA-120/96/Part I /1530/1(1)

15.3.99.

Copy with the enclosure forwarded to :-

1. Dr. G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist, Health, Nutritionist & Population, World Bank, New Delhi Office, 70, Lodhi Estate, New Delhi- 110 003, with the request to expedite issuance of the bank's concurrence/ approvals.


Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay

o/c



No. A-19012/40/96-111
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
Department of Family Welfare

Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi - 110 011.
Date: 04.03.99

Dr. (Col.) N.G. Gangopadhyay
Advisor - Health,
SUDA,
'ILGUS BHAVAN'
H-C Block, Sector-III,
Bidhan Nagar, Calcutta - 700 091.
West Bengal.

Sub : Implementation/approvals for MBD's/Price bids of civil works of Asansol,
Burdwan District

Ref : Your letter No.SUDA 120/96(Pt.I)/1254

Sir,

The Price bids/MBD's documents along with justified cost, and our recommendation has been forwarded to the World Bank for their concurrence/approvals.

We have examined your typical plinth beam foundation designs/brick wall foundation proposal and found they are in line with what we discussed in your office, hence are approved.

The two alternatives of the foundation design can be used as per local site conditions.

Yours faithfully

S.N.Kaul
Consultant-Architect
RCH Project
MOH & FW

Memo no. SUDA-120/96 Pt II / 1557


JE 9.3.99

ce.
Chief Engineer - MED, Bikash Bhawan, Cal-91
for kind information and necessary action.

sd/-
Advisor (H), SUDA

Design of Tie Beam

Typical arrangement



Span 4.425 M. section: 250 x 375 mm.

Load from superstructure:

Ht. of Brick wall : P.L. to F.F. :- 3.00

P.L. to G.L. :- 0.60

G.L. to T.B. :- 0.15

3.75 M.

Volume of Brick wall including plastering :- $3.75 \times 4.425 \times 0.30 = 4.98 \text{ Cu.m.}$

Less, for openings :- $(1.5 \times 1.35 + 0.75 \times 0.90) \times 0.30 = (-) 0.81 \text{ m}^3$

Net Vol. = 4.17 Cu.m.

Load from Brick wall :- $4.17 \times 2 = 8.34 \text{ T.}$

Self weight of Tie-Beam :- $4.425 \times 25 \times 375 \times 2.5 = 1.047$

Total Load = 9.38 T.

\therefore Weight per unit length = $9.38 / 4.425 = 2.12 \text{ T/M}$

Max^m B.M. at support = $\frac{2.12 \times 4.425^2}{9} = 4.61 \text{ T-M.}$

Effective depth, $d = 375 - (25 + 8) = 342 \text{ mm} = 34.2 \text{ cm}$

\therefore Area of tensile steel, $A_{st} = \frac{4.61 \times 10^5}{2300 \times 0.87 \times 34.2} = 6.74 \text{ cm}^2$

Steel required :- 3-16 ϕ + 1-12 ϕ .

Shear reinforcement :-

Shear force = $\frac{2.12 \times 4.425}{2} = 4.69 \text{ T.}$

Providing 8 ϕ - 2L stirrups,

Spacing = $\frac{2 \times 0.505 \times 2300 \times 0.87 \times 34.2}{4690} = 15 \text{ cm.}$

Designs checked by

Approved

S. N. KAUL

CONSULTANT ARCHITECT ROOM 516-A WING,
MOHFW. NIRMAN BHAWAN

span 3.125 M ; section 250 x 375 mm

$$\text{Unit Load} = 2.12 \text{ T/M.}$$

$$\text{Max}^m \text{ B.M} = \frac{2.12 \times 3.125^2}{9} = 2.30 \text{ T-M.}$$


$$\text{Ast.} = \frac{2.30 \times 10^5}{2300 \times 87 \times 34.2} = 3.36 \text{ cm}^2.$$

To provide 2 nos. 16 mm. ϕ or 3 nos. 12 mm. ϕ
main reinforcement.

Shear Reinforcement :-

$$\text{Shear force,} = \frac{2.12 \times 3.125}{2} = 3.31 \text{ T.}$$

$$\therefore \text{spacing of } 8\phi - 2\text{L stirrups} = \frac{2 \times 505 \times 2300 \times 87 \times 34.2}{3310} \\ = 20 \text{ cm c/c.}$$



TYPICAL PLINTH BEAM FOUNDATION

Design of Foundation for Wall

Load of wall (per m. run)

Above G.L. :

$$\text{Brick work} : 3.60 \times 1.00 \times 0.30 \times 2.0 = 2.16 \text{ T.}$$

Below G.L.

$$\text{Brickwork} : 0.775 \times 0.38 \times 1.0 \times 2.0 = 0.589 \text{ T.}$$


$$\text{P.C.C.} : 0.50 \times 1.0 \times 0.15 \times 2.4 = 0.180 \text{ T.}$$

$$\text{B.F.S.} : 0.50 \times 1.0 \times 0.075 \times 1.9 = 0.071 \text{ T}$$

$$\text{Total} = 3.000 \text{ T/m.}$$

Considering 7.5 T/m^2 safe bearing capacity of soil :
width of foundation required :- $3.00 / 7.5 = 0.40 \text{ m.}$

To provide 0.50 m wide foundation.



TYPICAL BRICK WALL FOUNDATION

Analysis of Cost

(For foundation works per unit length of /m)

(As per justified rate of present market)

A) Brick wall Foundation :-

i) Earth work in excavation :-

$$0.70 \times 1.00 \times 1.00 = 0.70 \text{ Cu.m. @ Rs. 2187} = 50 \text{ :- Rs. 15-31}$$

per % Cu.m.

ii) Single layer B.F.S. :-

$$0.50 \times 1.00 = 0.50 \text{ sq.m. @ Rs. 65-00} = \text{Rs. 32-50}$$

iii) P.C.C. (1:3:6) :- $0.50 \times 0.15 \times 1.0 = 0.075 \text{ Cu.m. @ 1,728-75}$
 $= \text{Rs. 129-66}$

iv) Cement Brick works :- $0.775 \times 0.38 \times 1.0 = 0.30 \text{ Cu.m. @ Rs. 1296-75}$
 $= \text{Rs. 389-03}$

v) Side shuttering :- $2 \times 1.00 \times 0.15 = 0.30 \text{ sq.m. @ 124-90}$
 $= \text{Rs. 37-47}$

vi) Earth work in backfill :-

$$0.70 - (0.50 \times 0.075 + 0.075 + 0.30)$$

$$= 0.29 \text{ Cu.m. @ 1662-50}$$

$$= \text{Rs. 4-82 per % Cu.m.}$$

$$\text{Total} = \text{Rs. 608-79.}$$

Hence, cost per unit meter = Rs. 608-79.

$$= \text{Rs. 609-00.}$$

Br) Tie Beam Foundation

i) Earthwork in Excavation :-

$$\frac{(0.15 + 1.375)}{+0.75} \times 0.45 \times 1.00 = 0.27 \text{ Cu.m. @ } 2,187.50 \\ = \text{Rs. } 5.90 \text{ per } \% \text{ Cu.m.}$$

ii) Cement Brickwork :-

$$0.15 \times 0.25 \times 1.00 = 0.038 \text{ Cu.m. @ } 1,296.75 = \text{Rs. } 49.28$$

iii) R.C.C. (1:2:4) :-

$$0.25 \times 0.375 \times 1.00 = 0.094 \text{ Cu.m. @ } 2,425.40 = \text{Rs. } 227.99$$

iv) Shuttering :-

$$2 \times 0.375 \times 1.00 = 0.75 \text{ sq.m. @ } 124.90 = \text{Rs. } 93.68$$

v) Single layer B.F.S.

$$0.45 \times 1.00 = 0.45 \text{ sq.m. @ } 65.00 = \text{Rs. } 29.25$$

vi) Reinforcement :-

$$16 \text{ \#} :- 4 \times 1.0 \text{ m} = 4.00 \text{ m. @ } 1.60 \text{ kg/m.} = 6.4 \text{ kg.}$$

$$8 \text{ \#} :- 5 \times (0.20 + 0.325) \times 2 = 5.25 \text{ m. @ } 0.40 \text{ kg/m.} = 2.1 \text{ kg.}$$

$$8.5 \text{ kg} \\ @ 2339.60 \text{ per Q.L.} \\ = \text{Rs. } 198.87$$

vii) Refilling :-

$$0.27 - (0.45 \times 4075 + 0.038 + 0.094) \\ = 0.10 \text{ m}^3 @ 1662.50 = \text{Rs. } 166.25 \\ \text{per } \% \text{ Cu.m.}$$

$$\text{Total :- Rs. } 606.63$$

Hence, Cost per m. run = Rs. 606.63.

$$= \text{Rs. } 607.00$$



Tele Phone : 337-1331

FAX NO. : 337-5474

CHIEF ENGINEER

Municipal Engineering Directorate
Municipal Affairs Department,
Bikash Bhavan, 1st floor, South Block
Salt Lake, Calcutta-700 091
Govt. of West Bengal.

D. O. No.

From : Shri S.K. Mukherjee,
Chief Engineer,
M.E. Dte.

To : Mr. Mamchand,
Sr. Procurement Engineer,
70, Lodhi Estate,
World Bank,
New Delhi-110003.

Handwritten notes:
de Kern
keep it in
1 pp VIII (copy) Jit
Kamrath
21/5

Sub : Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol
(ITF-018-1N) Procurement of Civil Works.

Ref : Letter dated 19.04.99 from Sri Anil Bhandari,
Operation Adviser, World Bank.

Handwritten initials:
AL
21/5

Sir,

In response to your above the undersigned is to furnish replies against the observations categorically in the enclosed statement.

In this connection, this is to state that this is first job of Procurement of Civil Works under World Bank assisted program undertaken by this Directorate and this directorate was not properly conversant with the relevant Bank rules. As a result, the anomalies as stated under Sl. No.3 & 4 has occurred.

Put up for your consideration and appropriate advice for further action in this regards.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Self
(S. K. Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer
M.E. Directorate.

// 2 //

NO: ME/203/1(7)/45-42/99-I Dated: 18-5-99

Copy forwarded for information to :

1. Shri A. Bhandari, Operation Adviser,
World Bank, New Delhi.
2. Dr. G.N.V. Ramana, Public Health Specialist,
H.N.P. Division.
3. Shri A.K. Mehra, Joint Director,
MOHFW, Govt. of India.
4. The Secretary, Municipal Affairs Department,
Govt. of West Bengal.
5. Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay, S U D A.
6. The Superintending Engineer, West Circle, M.E.Dte.
7. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.

Mukherjee
Chief Engineer
M. E. Directorate
=====

Chief Engineer,
M. E. DTE.
Deptt. of Municipal Affairs
Govt. of West Bengal

18/5/99

SERIAL NO.1.

A copy of Bid document in respect of each group of HAU (2 No.) and E.S.O.P.D. cum M.H. (One unit) is enclosed herewith vide Ann. I(A) & I(B). The M B D for a group of 2 units of H A U has already been cleared by the Bank on 28.4.98. But the M B D for E.S.O.P.D. cum M.H. needs to be cleared from your end. The Bid document is submitted herewith incorporating necessary corrections as per Bank norms may kindly be approved early.

SERIAL No.2.

The copy of advertisement appearing in the Newspaper is enclosed herewith (Vide Ann. II). Followings are their names :-

<u>Names of Newspapers</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Date of Publication</u>
1. Ananda Bazar Patrika	National	1.8.98
2. The Statesman	-do-	3.8.98
3. The Telegraph	-do-	1.8.98
4. Bartaman	-do-	1.8.98
5. Aajkal	-do-	1.8.98
6. Ganasakti	-do-	1.8.98

SERIAL NO.3 & 4.

The usual Govt. practice has been followed inadvertently. Although there was no application after 20.8.98, the lapse is regretted.

Contd.....p/2.

SERIAL NO.5.

The Bid opening date counted from the date of start of selling i.e. 17th August, '98 to 25th Sept., '98 is much more than the minimum period of 30 days.

SERIAL NO.6.

Validity of bid security has been indicated in the evaluation report vide clause No.6.4.

SERIAL NO.7.

The Bid validity dates as stated are agreed and the mode of calculation will be followed for further cases.

SERIAL NO.8.

Observation complied with and the revised post qualification list as per ITB clauses 4.5(a)(d) & (c) is furnished alongwith (Ann. IIIA, IIIB & IIIC).

SERIAL NO.9.

Considered accordingly.

SERIAL NO.10.

Complied with and revised calculation of Bid capacity is furnished herewith (Vide Ann.IVA, IVB & IVC).

SERIAL NO.11.

The bidder's annual turnovers have been checked and updated to the price level of 1999-2000.

Contd.....p/3.

SERIAL NO.12.

The Bid evaluation reports of notice No.1/RCH/ASAN, 2/RCH/ASAN & 3/RCH/ASAN were sent to MOHFW on 17.11.98 and 14.12.98 respectively. (Copy of letters enclosed Vide Ann. VA & VB). The E.R.S. were sent to World Bank from MOHFW during March, '99 through their consultant. It was learnt only from World Bank on 25.3.99 that the E.R.S. were not as per proper format. Neither the MOHFW consultant nor the World Bank consultant clarified this aspect earlier. This was the first project of World Bank undertaken by this Dte. through the Ministry of Health of G.O.I. and State Govt. and it was not at all conversant with the procurement procedures and guidelines before it had direct contact with the Bank on 25th March, '98. It may kindly be appreciated that the Dte. is not responsible for the stated time over runs in the Bid validity and security periods. The statement enclosed vide Ann. No.VI will clarify this aspect.

SERIAL NO.13.

The stated lapse has already been admitted in the E.R. as well as in the forwarding letter Vide No.MED/SE(W)/20/W-51/98 dated 12.4.99 in para No.2 (Copy enclosed Vide Ann. VII).

R.C.H. Sub Project at Asansol

Civil Works :

Scope of Project :

- Construction of 13 nos. HAU
- 2 nos. ESOPD cum MH
- 1 no. Medical Store

In the 1st phase, National competitive Bidding for 8 nos. HAU and 2 nos. ESOPD cum MH were invited in the months of September & November, '98. As per agreement, prior approval from World Bank is necessary before issuance of acceptance of Bids. The evaluation sheets were sent to MDHFW in the month of November & December, '98, which were forwarded to World Bank in March, '99. During visit of S.E.(W) to World Bank on 26th March, '99 it was learnt that, the evaluation sheets were not as per Bank formats. The evaluation sheets were then reprepared as per Bank format and sent to World Bank on 15.4.99. The Dte. is waiting for their approval.

The enclosed statement will reveal the status of the Bids as they stood on 3rd week of March, '99. The decision regarding independent medical store is also awaited.

In the meanwhile, it is learnt from the D.M., Bardhaman Distt., that the sites proposed earlier for two nos. H.A.U.s will not be available, as these have been earmarked for other uses. Corporation has been urged to look for alternative sites.

*PP open separate file for civil works
Dr. R.N. Kar for Asansol*

*As enclosed on
min reply to
Scam on 29.4.99
for CEMENT
29/4*

status as on
21.3.89.

Statement showing position of MCB for Civil works
under RCH Programme, Asansol.

Units	No	Bid Notice No.	D a t e	Validity of Bid (90 d.)	Evaluation sheets to of Bids. MMW.	Bid cost (Av.)	Period(wk) over rates if any till date.	Excavation 85% per annum.	Unit/Week	Total Amount.
GROUP OF RCH	3	1/RCH/ASAW	18.7.98	21.9.98	20.12.98	17.11.98	29.57 lakhs	14 Weeks	2,843	1,19,406
GROUP OF HAU	1	2/RCH/ASAW	25.8.98	5.11.98	3.2.99	14.12.98	29.57 "	8 "	2,843	22,744
ESOPD CUM MH	1	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	41.83 "	8 "	4,022	32,176
ESOPD CUM MH	1	3/RCH/ASAW	16.10.98	5.11.98	3.2.99	14.12.98	41.83 "	8 "	4,022	32,176

Total excavation amount (& date): 2,66,502

Government of West Bengal
Office of Superintending Engineer, West Circle,
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Patal Bazar, 3rd floor, Tinkonia, Burdwan.

No. MED/SE(W)/ *Sh* /W-51/98

Date : 4.5.99

From : The Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M E Directorate

To : Mr. Anil Bhandari
Operation Adviser
World Bank
New Delhi.

Sub: Implementation of RCH Project
at Asansol (ITF-018-1N)
Procurement of Civil Works.

Ref: Your letter dated 19.04.99



Sir,

In response to your above the undersigned is to furnish replies against the observations categorically in the enclosed statement.

In this connection this is to state that this is first job of Procurement of Civil Works under World Bank assisted program undertaken by this Directorate and it was not properly conversant with the relevant bank rules. However, in the forecoming cases, the procurement procedures will be followed strictly as per Bank guidelines.

Although there are some deviations in the Bid procedures, the bidding has been carried out in a free and fair way at a justified rate. I would accordingly request you to issue clearance for the first time only entirely in the interest of works.

Yours faithfully,

Enclo: As stated.

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M E Dte

No. MED/SE(W)/84/1(5)/W-51/98

Date : 04.05.1999

Copy forwarded for information to :

1. Sri Mamchand, Sr. Procurement Engineer, World Bank, New Delhi
2. Dr. Ramana, Sr. Public Health Specialist, World Bank, New Delhi
3. The Chief Engineer, M E Dte
4. The Adviser, Health, SUDA
5. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Asansol.

11/5/99

Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M E Dte.

Serial No.1.

The bid documents have been submitted to World Bank through MOHFW on 9.3.98 by the Secretary, Municipal Affairs Department, Govt.of West Bengal complying with the comments of Bank (copy of forwarding letter enclosed vide Annex.IA.). It is presumed to have been approved. However, a copy of Bid document in respect of each group of HAU (2 No.) and E.S.O.P.D. cum M.H. (one unit) is enclosed herewith vide Annex.I(B) & I(C).

Serial No.2.

The copy of advertisement appearing in the Newspaper is under the process of collection from the I & CA. Deptt. The requisite informations will be furnished, as soon as there are available.

Serial No.3 & 4.

The usual Govt. practice has been followed inadvertently. Although there was no application after 20.8.98, the lapse is regretted.

Serial No.5.

The Bid opening date counted from the date of start of selling i.e. 17th August,1998 to 25th September,1998 is much more than the minimum period of 30 days.

Serial No.6.

Validity of bid security has been indicated in the evaluation report vide clause No.6.4.

Serial No.7.

The bid validity dates as stated are agreed and the mode of calculation will be followed for further cases.

Serial No.8.

Observation complied with and the revised post qualification list as per ITB clauses 4.5(A)(d)&(c) will be furnished shortly.

Serial No.9.

Considered accordingly.

Serial No.10.

Complied with and revised calculation of Bid capacity will be furnished shortly.

Serial No.11.

The bidder's annual turnover have been checked and updated to the price level of 1999-2000 and will be refurnished shortly.

Serial No.12.

The Bids evaluation reports of Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN and 2/RCH/ASAN were sent to MOHFW on 17.11.98 and 14.12.98 respectively (copies enclosed vide Annex-IIA & IIB). The E.R.S. were sent to World Bank from MOHFW during March'99 through their consultant. It was learnt only from World Bank on 25.3.99 that the E.R.S. were not as per proper format. Neither the MOHFW consultant nor the World Bank consultant clarified this aspect earlier. This was the first project of World Bank undertaken by this Directorate through the Ministry of Health of Govt.of India and State Govt. and it was not at all conversant with the procurement procedures and guidelines, before it had direct contact with the Bank on 25th March'98. It may kindly be appreciated that the Directorate is not responsible for the stated time over runs in the Bid validity and security periods. The statement enclosed vide Annex.No.III will clarify this aspect.

Serial No.13.

The stated lapse has already been admitted in the E.R. as well as in the forwarding letter vide No.MED/SE(W)/20/W-51/98 dated 12.4.99 by the para no.II. Copy enclosed vide Annex.-IV.

A Mayurakshi 1st Pan. 16-25

B Viswabharti 1st Pan. 16-40 Leaves Herb.

Arrives Rampurhat, (A) 22-20

(B) 21-50.

Gana Devta leaves Rampurhat - 17-10

Reaches Herb - 21-45

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No.....

Date.....

SUDA/120/96(PT-II)/1229

Dated 14th January, 1999

From : Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay
Advisor (Health)
State Urban Development Agency,

To : The Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhavan, Bidhannagar,
Calcutta.


Sub : Approval of drawing for independent Medical
Store Unit for RCH Asansol & IPP(VIII)
Extension, West Bengal.

Sir,


With reference to your letter on the subject quoted above, I am to state that a reply has been received from WORLD BANK in this regard. The drawings have been reviewed and cleared by the WORLD BANK, for construction. A xerox copy of the same is enclosed for your perusal.

You are requested to take further necessary action in respect of RCH Asansol at present. Further communication will follow with regard to IPP VIII Ext.

Yours faithfully,


14/1/98
Advisor (Health) SUDA

Enco :- As stated above.



January 7, 1999

Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay
Adviser (Health)
State Urban Development Agency,
"Ilgus Bhavan", H-C Block, Sector III,
Bidhannagar,
Calcutta 700 091



Dear Dr. Gangopadhyay:

*Approval of drawing for independent Medical Store Unit for RCH Asansol
and IPP VIII Extension (West Bengal)*

This refers to your letter dated November 11, 1998 forwarding the above mentioned drawings for approval by the Bank.

The drawings have been reviewed and cleared by our consultant Architect and we have no objection to your proceeding to the next stage of project implementation.

With regards,

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "G.N.V. Ramana".

G.N.V. Ramana
Public Health Specialist

Government of West Bengal
Department of Municipal Affairs
Writers' Buildings, Calcutta -700 001.

No. 884 /SUDA - 120/96(Pt.II) dated: the 21st. December, 1998.

From : The Special Secretary to the Government
of West Bengal.

To : The Chief Executive Officer,
Asansol Municipal Corporation.

Sub: Opening of Bank Account for RCH Programme.

The undersigned is directed to invite a reference to the subject noted above and to request the Chief Executive Officer, Asansol Municipal Corporation to open a new Bank Account for RCH Programme.

The Bank Account so opened by the Corporation shall jointly be operated by the Mayor or any other Councillor as may be nominated by the Corporation and the Chief Executive Officer. All the funds received by the Municipal Corporation towards the programme will be credited to the account and all expenditure for this programme will be charged against this account.

Sd/-R. N. Dutta
Special Secretary.

No.884/1/SUDA-120/96Pt.II dated;the 21st December, 1998.

Copy forwarded to the Director and Chief Executive, State Urban Development Agency for information. This has a reference to his un-official note bearing no.SUDA-120/96Pt.II/194 dated 28.11.98.

Sd/-R. N. Dutta
Special Secretary.


No.884/2/SUDA-120/96Pt.II dated;the 21st December,1998.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, West Bengal for information.

Sd/-R. N. Dutta
Special Secretary.

No.884/3/SUDA-120/96Pt.II dated;the 21st December, 1998.

Copy forwarded to Dr. N. G. Gangapadhyay, Adviser (Health), SUDA for information and necessary action.


Special Secretary.

10
Mar
22.12.98

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No. SUDA-120/196(Pt.I)/ 1106

Date 14-12-1998



From : Dr.(Col.) N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA.

To : Sri E.J.Jos
Under Secretary to the Govt. Of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Department of Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhavan
New Delhi

Sub : Reproductive and child Health Project (LENP) Asansol
Construction Works : Comparative Statement of Bids, etc.

Sir,

I am to refer to this office memo No. SUDA-120/96(Pt.I)/999A, Dated 17-11-1998 transmitting therewith two copies of comparative analysis of bids and other documents relating to construction of HAUs.

I am now sending two copies of comparative analysis of bids and other documents relating to construction of ESOPD cum Maternity Homes which will speak for itself.

You are requested to kindly take necessary action towards according of approval of World Bank in this regard, for construction of both HAUs and ESOPD cum Maternity Homes. As the matter is urgent a quick action is solicited.

contd..

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No.....

Date.....

2.

On receipt of approval from you, further action shall be taken from this end for the Construction of the HAUs and ESPOD cum Maternity Home by Municipal Engineering / Municipal Affairs Deptt, Govt. of West Bengal.

This may kindly be treated as extremely urgent.

Yours Faithfully

Encl :

1. Comparative Analysis of abstract cost of ESOPD cum M.H.
2. Credentials relating to M S Chatterjee Construction.


Dr.(Col.) N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA

No. SUDA-120/96 (Pt.I)/1106/1 (3)

Date. 14.12.98

Copy for Information

1. The Chief Engineer, ME, Director ^{ak.} W.B., Wrt. his office
Memo No. ME/3756/45-37/97 date. 01-12-98
2. The Director & C.E., SUDA
3. The Special Secretary, M.A. Depp.

 14/12

Dr.(Col.) N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA

Government of West Bengal
Department of Municipal Affairs
Writers' Buildings, Calcutta -700 001.

No.736/MA/P/C-10/3S-17/98 dated;the 23rd October,1998.

From : The Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal.

To : The Director & Chief Executive,
State Urban Development Agency,
ILGUS Bhavan, Salt Lake City,
Calcutta.

Sub : Release of fund for RCH Projects at Asansol.

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject noted above and to request him to release a sum of Rs.50.00 lakhs in favour of the Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, West Bengal immediately as first instalment for the RCH Project at Asansol out of the fund of Rs.95.00 lakhs already received by him for the said project.

Sd/-S.Roy Chaudhury
Deputy Secretary.

No.736/1/MA/P/C-10/3S-17/98 dated;the 23rd October,1998.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Bikash Bhavan, 1st floor, Salt Lake, Calcutta, with reference to his memo No.ME/3504/4S-37/97 dt. 8.10.98 for information.


Deputy Secretary.

file
for
30/12

AC
23.10.98

Government of West Bengal
Department of Municipal Affairs
Writers' Buildings, Calcutta -700 001.

No.736/MA/P/C-10/3S-17/98 dated; the 23rd October, 1998.

From : The Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal.

To : The Director & Chief Executive,
State Urban Development Agency,
ILGUS Bhavan, Salt Lake City,
Calcutta.

Sub : Release of fund for RCH Projects at Asansol.

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject noted above and to request him to release a sum of Rs.50.00 lakhs in favour of the Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, West Bengal immediately as first instalment for the RCH Project at Asansol out of the fund of Rs.95.00 lakhs already received by him for the said project.

Sd/-S.Roy Chaudhury
Deputy Secretary.

No.736/1/MA/P/C-10/3S-17/98 dated; the 23rd October, 1998.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Bikash Bhavan, 1st floor, Salt Lake, Calcutta, with reference to his memo No.ME/3504/4S-37/97 dt. 8.10.98 for information.

(P)
Deputy Secretary.

23/10.98



INVITATION FOR NATIONAL COMPETITIVE BIDDING

Family Welfare (Urban Slum) under R.C.H. Project, Asansol
(A World Bank Project financed through Health & Family
Welfare Department .)

Bid Notice No.2/RCH/ASAN

Memo No.MED/SE(W)/254/W-51/98

Dated : 25.08.98


1. The Government of India has received a credit from the International Development Association towards the cost of Family Welfare (Urban Slum) Project and intends a part of the funds to cover eligible payments under the contracts for construction of works as detailed below. Contractors registered with the Government of West Bengal of appropriate Class or higher and contractors registered with other State Government, Government of India, States and Central Govt. undertakings in equivalent class and outside contractors with appropriate credentials are eligible to bid for the works. Bidding is also open to all bidders from eligible source countries as defined in the IBRD Guidelines for procurement .
2. The Superintending Engineer (WEST) Circle, M. E. Directorate invites bids for the construction of works as detailed below .
3. Bidding documents (and additional copies) may be purchased from the Office of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Division, M. E. Directorate from 21.09.98 to 24.09.98 upto 2.p.m.(IST) for a non-refundable fee (for each set of two copies) for Rs.1,000/- as indicated, in the shape of cash or Demand Draft on any Scheduled Bank payable at Asansol in favour of Executive Engineer, Asansol Division, M. E. Directorate . Interested bidders may obtain further information at the same address. Bidding documents requested by mail will be despatched by registered post on payment of an extra amount of Rs.200/- . This Directorate will not be held responsible for the postal delay if any, in the delivery of the documents or non-receipt of the same .

ContdP/2

4. Bids must be accompanied by security amount specified for the work as mentioned below, payable at Asansol and drawn in favour of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Division, M.E. Directorate. Bid security will have to be in any one of the shapes as specified in the bidding documents and shall have to be valid for 90 days beyond the validity of bid.
5. Bids must be delivered to the office of the Superintending Engineer, West Circle, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Govt. of West Bengal, Patal Bazar, (3rd floor), Tinkonia, Burdwan, West Bengal on or before 26.10.98 upto 14 hours and will be opened on the same day at 15 hours, in front of the bidders who wish to attend. If the office happens to be closed on the date of receipt of the bids as specified, the bids will be received and opened on the next day of opening of the office at the same time and venue.
6. Other details can be seen in the bidding documents.

Name of the work:

Group No.	Name of work	Approx. value (Rs.)	Bid Security (Rs.)	Cost Document (Rs.)	Period of completion
I.	Construction of 2 Nos. H.A.Us at Mouza Asansol R.S. Plot No.14569,14558 work No.27 (J.L.No.20) and Mouza-Nabaghanty, R.S. Plot No.776, Ward No. 43.	22,82,220/-	46,000/-	500/-	9 months
II.	Construction of 1 No. ESOPD cum Maternity Home at Mouza Sitla, J.L. No.21, R.A. Plot No.1325, Ward No.28.	37,52,000/-	75,000/-	500/-	12 months


Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E.Dte.



1. The Shabhadipati, Burdwan Zilla Parishad, Burdwan.
2. The District Magistrate, Burdwan.
3. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Asansol, Burdwan.
4. The Chief Engineer, M. E. Directorate.
5. The Director, S.U.D.A. , ILGUS Bhavan, Calcutta.
6. The Special Secretary, M. A. Deptt.
7. The Adviser (Health), SUDA.
8. The Superintending Engineer, (East, North, South Head Quarter) Circle, M. E. Directorate.
9. The Executive Engineer, Asansol Divn. M. E. Dte.
10. The Deputy Secretary, I&CA, Writers' Buildings, Cal.-1.
11. The Head Estimator, West Circle, M. E. Directorate.
12. Notice Board.

(G. Das)
Superintending Engineer
West Circle
M. E. Directorate.



Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhawan, South Block, 1st floor, Salt Lake, Cal-91.

No. ME/

Dated : the 24th August, '98

From : Shri S.K. Mukherjee,
Chief Engineer.

To : Shri R.N. Dutta,
Special Secretary to the
Government of West Bengal
Deptt. of Municipal Affairs,
Writers' Buildings, Cal-1.

Str,

Sub : RCH Scheme in Asansol Municipal
Corporation.

Kindly find enclosed herewith 6 sample Bid Documents
in respect of :

- a) one No. ESOPD and
- b) two Nos. H.A.U.;

in respect of RCH Programme in Asansol Municipal
Corporation.

It may be recalled that tenders for 1 no. ESOPD
and 6 nos. of HAU under RCH Programme under Asansol
Municipal Corporation have since been invited.

The sample Bid Documents may kindly be transmitted
to the World Bank for their information and records.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Enclo : As stated.

(S.K. Mukherjee)

Chief Engineer

No. ME/3322/45-37/97

Dated : 23rd 24.8.1998

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action
to :

1. Shri A.M. Chakraborti, Secretary, Deptt. of
Municipal Affairs, Writers' Buildings, Cal-1.
- ✓ 2. Shri D.K. Roy, Director & Chief Executive, SUDA
3. Shri Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay, Adviser (Health), SUDA

S. Mukherjee
Chief Engineer

M.E. Dte. 29.8.98



Office of the Chief Engineer
M.E. Directorate

Sub : Reproductive and Child Health Project
in Asansol with World Bank assistance
- requisition for placement of funds.

A reference is invited to this Directorate notes bearing u/o No. ME/115/4S-37/97 dated 30.4.98 (copy enclosed for ready reference). A meeting in this connection was held in Asansol Municipal Corporation on 14.5.98 where Secretary, Deptt. of M.A. and Mayor, AMC were present. It was decided that the civil works components of the above project will be executed by the M.E. Directorate. The Mayor, AMC kindly agreed that a Board resolution of the Corporation authorising the Municipal Engg. Directorate to execute the civil works of the project would be taken by them in their next meeting.

The matter was discussed with the Special Secretary, M.A. Deptt. over phone on 20.5.98. It was requested to him that an order from the department authorising the M.E. Dte. to take up the above works on deposit basis may be issued at an early date to enable this Dte. to ~~initiate~~ initiate advance action for the construction works. Meanwhile, it was suggested by the Special Secretary that we should place a requisition of funds at this stage pending formalities so that there is no difficulty at the time of execution of the works.

It has been learnt that out of 13 Health Posts and 2 ESOPDs, 6 Health Posts and one ESOPD will have to be constructed during the current financial year.

2.

The cost of construction of the first year roughly works out to Rs.90 lakhs out of which it is now requested that a sum of Rs.50.00 lakhs be placed at the disposal of the Executive Engineer, Asansol Division, M.E.Dte. who will execute the work. The amount may be placed at the disposal of Executive Engineer, Asansol Division under this Dte. by a suitable Govt. order whereafter on the strength of LOCs to be issued from this office he will be incurring the required expenditure.

Appropriate action in this regard may now kindly be taken by the department.

Sd/-
(S.K.Mukherjee)
Chief Engineer

Encls : As above.

Shri R.N.Dutta
Special Secy.,
Deptt. of M.A.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :

- 1) Shri A.M.Chakrabarti, Secretary, Deptt. of Municipal Affairs.
- 2) Dr. R.N.Kar, Adviser, Health, SUDA
- 3) Shri D.K.Roy, Director & Chief Executive SUDA.
- 4) Shri G.Das, S.E., West Circle, M.E.Dte.
- 5) Shri B.Das, E.E., Asansol Divn., M.E.Dte.

S.K.Mukherjee
Chief Engineer
M.E.Dte.

20.5.98.

O. / ME / 135 / 45 - 27 / 27
20.5.98
Office of the Chief Engineer.
M E, Directorate

file
or
27/5



R.C.H. PROJECT - ASANSOL
Involvement of M.E. Dte.
=====

A report on Reproductive and Child Health Project for Asansol town was prepared by SUDA and submitted to GOI seeking World Bank Assistance. A draft NCB document for civil works of the project was submitted by Asansol Municipal Corporation. But the same was returned with comments by the GOI with the request to submit a complete and modified draft NCB document as per format (W-I, IFT) vide No. L.19012/40/96-APS (Vol.III) dated 22-9-97.

At this stage this Directorate was involved in the matter and as per discussion with Sri D.K. Roy, Director and Chief Executive SUDA the draft NCB document as per format was prepared and submitted to Sri D.K. Roy for onward transmission. Comments of the World Bank on his draft NCB documents was obtained vide No. L.19012/40/96-APS/AP.I (Vol.III) dated 2.1.98. As per discussion with Sri D.K. Roy and Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay of SUDA on 18-2-98 a revised NCB document was submitted to Sri D.K. Roy on 24-2-98 for onward transmission.

Now, it is learnt that the RCH Project of Asansol has been approved and fund has been released. As per the NCB document submitted the work will be implemented by Asansol Division of this Dte. under the Superintending Engineer, West Circle, M.E.D.

In this connection the undersigned likes to draw your kind attention to the following :-

- 1) A directive of the Deptt. authorising this Directorate for implementation of the project will be essential for taking up the work by this Dte.
- 2) The fund will be released in favour of the Health and Family Welfare Deptt. of the State. A liasion in the matter is to be established between Health and Family Welfare Deptt. and Municipal Affairs Deptt.
- 3) The fund will have to be channelised to the Dte. By appropriate G.O. or otherwise as approved by the Govt. Untill the fund is obtained this Dte. will not be in a position to call tenders.

- 4) It should be explored whether marginal strengthening of the Division is possible by induction of at least one Assistant Engineer and two Sub-Assistant Engineers for timely execution, of the project out of the provisions for this project.

With the above it is now requested to kindly look into the matter and take suitable necessary action in the interest of the timely execution of the project.

sd/-

(S K. MUKHERJEE)
CHIEF ENGINEER.

Sri A.N. Chakrabarti,

Secy, Deptt. of ~~Ho~~ ⁽¹⁾ ME/115/4S-37/97.

Dt.....30-4-98.....

No.

Office of the Chief Engineer,
M. E. Directorate

Dated, the April, '98

Copy forwarded for information to :

- ✓ 1. Sri D.K. Roy, Director & Chief Executive, SUDA.
2. Sri R.N. Dutta, Special Secretary, Deptt. of Municipal Affairs.

S. Mukherji
CHIEF-ENGINEER
M.E.D.

30.4.98

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No. SUDA-120/96(Pt.I)/1180.

Date 7th January'99.

From : Dr. N.G. Gangopadhay,
Advisor(Health),
S U D A.

To : The Chief Engineer,
Municipal Engineering Directorate,
West Bengal, Bikash Bhawan,
Salt Lake, Calcutta.

Subject :- Implementation of R.C.H. Project at
Asansol - Civil construction works.

Reference :- Bid notice No. 1/RCH/ASAN-Memo No.MED/SE(W)/
134/W-51/98 of 1998-99, dated 18.7.98.


Sir,

With reference to your Bid Document quoted above which was forwarded to Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, I am to state that a reply has been received, from Government of India, including some observations on the same bid documents. A Xerox copy of the same is enclosed for your perusal.

You are requested, kindly to take necessary action in this regard and send the necessary clarifications at the earliest as required by Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India.

Encl : As stated above.

Yours faithfully,


(Dr. N.G. Gangopadhay),
Advisor(Health), SUDA.

DE/-
7/1/99.

SUDA

of Consider Service

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No. SUDA-120/96(Pt. I) 1254

Date..... 25.01.99

From : Dr. (Col.) N. G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser, Health
SUDA.

To: Sri S. N. KAUL
Consultant Architect.
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare,
Department of Family Welfare,
Nirman Bhavan
New Delhi

Sub : Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol -
Civil Construction works

Ref.: Letter No. L 19012 40 96 API. dated 30.11.98 and 08.01.99

Sir.

As per your observation, the estimated cost of Single Unit of H.A.U. and E.S.O.P.D. cum Maternity Home under RCH Project Asansol has since been prepared in accordance with P.W.D. Western Circle - 1. schedule of rates (1996).

As the schedule is nearly three years old, the justified cost as per present market condition will be about 25% more for each item of works considering the escalation of the price at the rate 10% per year. Accordingly this has been shown in the justified rate column of the estimate. The estimated as well as justified estimates are submitted herewith in duplicate.

From the above it will be seen that available bid cost, for each unit of H.A.U. and E.S.O.P.D. cum Maternity Home are found to be fairly comparable with the justified rates.


SUDA

- 2 -

Copies of the comparative analysis of price bids and other documents stated to have been returned vide your letter no. L19012/40/96 API dated 30.11.98 have not been received by us yet. However, copies of these are enclosed with the memo. The particular justified rate estimate needs to be correlated with the original ones.

In view of all above you are requested to accord approval at the earliest on priority basis to enable us to start the constructional work immediately. You are further requested to confirm that once these are approved, similar type of documents need not be sent to you for approval in future in respect of other Health Units to be constructed later on in a phased manner.

Yours faithfully:


(Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay)
Adviser, Health


Encl :

1. Copies of original comparative statements of bids for six no. Of HAU's in three Groups and One ESOPD cum MH.
2. Comparative statement of bid cost and justified cost aa for one unit of HAU and one unit of E.S.O.P.D. cum MH.

c.c. No. SUDA-120 96 (Pt. I)

Consier Service
Date : 25.01.99


✓ Sri E. J. JOS, under Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Department of Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi for favour of kind information and necessary action.


(Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay)
Adviser, Health

c.c. No. SUDA-120 96 (Pt. I)

Date : 25.01.99

- ✓ 1. The Chief Engineer, ME Dte., DMA, Govt. of West Bengal, w.r.t his office memo no. ME 4036 4S - 37/97 dated 21.01.99
- ✓ 2. The Director & Chief Executive, SUDA.
- ✓ 3. The Special Secretary, MA Deptt.


(Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay)
Adviser, Health

MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE

Deptt. Of Municipal Affairs
Govt. Of W.B.

Bikash Bhavan

South Block (1 St Floor) † Salt Lake City †
Calcutta – 700091

Fax : 337-5474
Telephone : 337-1331
337-5472
337-5473

NO. ME/4036/4S-37/97

DATE : 21-1-99

From : Sri S.K.Mukherjee.
Chief Engineer,
M.E.D

To : Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay
Adviser (Health) S.U.D.A

Sub : Implementation of R.C.H project Asansol.


**Ref. : NO. M.E.D/SE (W) /561/W-51/98 Dt. 18.1.99
from S.E. West Circle of this Dte.**

Sir,

Drawing a reference to the above it is hereby intimated that the copies of the estimated as well as justified cost of single unit of HAU & ESOPD each has already been handed over to Sri B.Das, Assistant Project Officer, S.U.D.A by the S.E. West Circle personally. The same may please be sent to M.H.F.W. for their necessary action in this regard. Kindly this may be treated with top priority.

Yours Faithfully,

Enclo : Copy of S.E's letter
for ready reference.


Sri S. K. Mukherjee
Chief Engineer

NO:

Date :

Copy along with a copy of the enclosure forwarded for information to :

- 1) The secretary, M.A.Deptt. Govt. of West Bengal.
- 2) The S.E, West Circle, M. E Dte.

1
Sri S. K. Mukherjee.
Chief Engineer.

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Superintending Engineer, West Circle
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Patal Bazar, 3rd floor, Tinkonia, Burdwan.

No. MED/SE(W)/ 56/W-51/98

Dated :

From : The Superintending Engineer,
West Circle, M.E. Dte.

To : The Chief Engineer,
M.E. Dte.

Sub : Implementation of R.C.H. Project at Asansol Civil
Construction works.

Ref : Your U.O. No. ME/409/4s-37/dt.11.1.99 on the body
of letter No. L 19012/40/96 API from the Ministry
of H & F.W. , Government of India.

Sir,

As per observation of the Architect Consultant, MHFW, the estimated cost of one unit of HAU & ESOPD cum-Maternity Home under R.C.H. Project, Asansol has since been prepared according to the PWD Western Circle-I Schedule of Rates (1996).

As the Schedule is nearly 3 years old, the justified cost as per present market condition will be about 25% more for each item of works considering the escalation of price @10% per year, which has been shown in the justified rate column of the estimate. The estimated as well as justified estimates are submitted herewith in 4 copies.

The available bid costs for each unit of HAU & ESOPD cum-M.H. will be found to be fairly comparable with the justified rates.

It appears from the MHFW letter they have returned all the papers and documents from their end. This particular justified rate estimate needs to be co-related with the original ones. It has been revealed that Adviser Health, SUDA did not receive any such document till date. As such further copies of original comparative statements are enclosed herewith.

Yours faithfully,


Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E. Dte.

No. MED/SE(W)/ 56(1) /W-51/98

Dated : 18.1.99

Copy forwarded for information to :-

1. The Adviser Health, S.U.D.A.
2. The Mayor, Asansol Municipal Corporation.


18/1/99.
Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E. Dte.



No. L 19012/40/96 API
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
Department of Family Welfare

Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi-110 011.
Date: 08.01.1999.

Dr. N. G. GANGOPADHYAY
ADVISER-HEALTH,
SUDA,
'ILGUS BHAVAN',
H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III,
BIDHAN NAGAR,
CALCUTTA-700 091.

*S. Majumdar
for the M. Director
20/1*

Sub: Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol

Ref: Bid Notice No.6/RCH/ASAN and your letter no.
SUDA-120/196(Pt.I)/1106 dated 14.12.98

Sir,

It has been observed that the estimated/justified cost has not been prepared and furnished along with the comparative price bid analysis statement. In the absence of justified cost, it is not possible to ascertain the actual value of the work.

You are requested that the estimated/justified cost to be prepared for each item of work and compared with the quoted rates.

Your recommendation, along with the justified cost, to be sent to this office at your earliest, so that the approvals are accorded.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

S.N. KAUL
CONSULTANT-ARCHITECT
RCH PROJECT

8.1.99.

No. L 19012/40/86 API
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Department of Family Welfare)



Nirman Bhavan
New Delhi-110 011.
Date: 30.11.98

Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay
Advisor-Health,
SUDA-ILGUS Bhavan,
H-C Block, Sector-III, Bidhan Nagar,
Calcutta-700 091.

Dr. H. Asany
6/11/99

Sub: Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol- Civil Construction works

Ref: Bid notice No.1/RCH/ASAN Memo No.MED/SE(W)/134/W-51/98 of 1998-99 dated 18.07.98.

Sir,

Ref your letter No.SUDA-120/96(Pt.I) 999-A dated 17.11.98.

We have examined the bids of all the bidders. It has been observed that the estimated/justified cost has not been prepared, in the absence of justified cost it is not possible to ascertain the actual value of work.

You are therefore requested that estimated/justified cost to be prepared for each item of work and compared with the quoted rates.

Your recommendation alongwith justified cost to be sent to this office at your earliest so that the approvals may be accorded.

Copies of the comparative analysis of price bids and other documents are returned herewith.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
S.N. KAUL
Consultant-Architect

*For forward to Chief Engineer, MED
for necessary action pt as
discussed with Mr. Seny M.A.
on date.*

*N. Seny
7/11/99*

Not received.

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091

West Bengal

Ref No.....SUDA-120/196(Pt.I)/1106

14-12-1998
Date.....

From : Dr.(Col.) N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA.

To : Sri E.J.Jos
Under Secretary to the Govt. Of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Department of Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhavan
New Delhi

Sub : Reproductive and child Health Project (LENP) Asansol
Construction Works : Comparative Statement of Bids, etc.

Sir,

I am to refer to this office memo No. SUDA-120/96(Pt.I)/999A, Dated 17-11-1998 transmitting therewith two copies of comparative analysis of bids and other documents relating to construction of HAUs.

I am now sending two copies of comparative analysis of bids and other documents relating to construction of ESOPD cum Maternity Homes which will speak for itself.

You are requested to kindly take necessary action towards according of approval of World Bank in this regard, for construction of both HAUs and ESOPD cum Maternity Homes. As the matter is urgent a quick action is solicited.

contd..

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No.....

Date.....

2.


On receipt of approval from you, further action shall be taken from this end for the Construction of the HAUs and ESPOD cum Maternity Home by Municipal Engineering / Municipal Affairs Deptt, Govt. of West Bengal.

This may kindly be treated as extremely urgent.

Yours Faithfully

Encl :

1. Comparative Analysis of abstract cost of ESOPD cum M.H.
2. Credentials relating to MS Chatterjee Construction.



Dr.(Col.) N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA


No. SUDA-120/96 (Pt.D)/1106/1(3)

Date. 14.12.98

Copy for Information

1. The Chief Engineer, ME, Director, ^{ate} W.B., Wrt. his office
Memo No. ME/3756/45-37/97 date. 01-12-98
2. The Director & C.E., SUDA
3. The Special Secretary, M.A. Deptt.




Dr.(Col.) N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA



Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Bikash Bhavan, South Block, Salt Lake, Calcutta-91.

No. ME/3756 /4S-37/97

Dated the 01-12- 1998

From : Shri S. K. Mukherjee
Chief Engineer.

To : Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay
Adviser (Health)
S U D A

Sub : R.C.H - Asansol

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a letter No. MED|SE(W)|449/W-51/98 dated 23.11.98 along with 3 copies of comparative analysis which will be self explanatory.

You are requested kindly to send the above to the World Bank for their necessary action including their approval in this regard.

On receipt of the approval ^{Further} ~~from their~~ action will be taken from this end.

Yours faithfully,

S. Mukherjee
Chief Engineer
M. E. Directorate

Enclo : as stated.

Rm/

01.12.98

Government of West Bengal
Office of the Superintending Engineer, West Circle
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Patal Bazar, 3rd floor, Tinkonia, Burdwan

No.MED/SE(W)/ 449 /W-51/98

Dated: 23.11.98.

From : The Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M. E. Dte.

Exp. No. 5747

To : The Chief Engineer
M. E. Directorate.

27-11-98

R.

Sub : Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol Civil
Construction works.

Ref : Bid Notice No.2/RCH/ASAN vide memo No.MED/SE(W)/
254/W-51/98 dt.25.8.98 and Bid Notice No.3/RCH/
ASAN vide memo No.MED/SE(W)/334/W-51/98 of 1998-
99 dt.16.10.98.

Sir,

The bids received in response to the aforesaid Bid.
Notice on 25.8.98 and 16.10.98 and opened on 5.11.98 in presence
of the participants. After evaluation of the rates offered in
the bids, the lowest rate available for each group of work
stands as follows:-

Gr.I - Bid of westing House Saxby Farmer Ltd. for Rs.29,58,256.02

Gr.II - Bid of Tapas Kumare Hazra for Rs.41,78,517=00

Bid Notice No.3/RCH/ASAN

Bid of M/s. Chatterjee Construction for Rs.41,88,616=32

I am enclosing herewith 4 copies each of the comparative
statements of Bids against each item of work for your kind
perusal and forwarding to the World Bank for their approval.

It is hereby recommended from this end to accept the
bids of all the lowest bidders of Gr.I, Gr.II and 3/RCH/ASAN
respectively.

I am further enclosing herewith the copies of the perfor-
mance reports and audit reports of the three lowest bidders,
along with the copies of Bid Notice.

Enclo : as stated.

Your faithfully,

Dus 23/11/98
Superintending Engineer
West Circle, M.E.Dte.

SUDA

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

"ILGUS BHAVAN"

H-C BLOCK, SECTOR-III, BIDHANNAGAR, CALCUTTA-700 091
West Bengal

Ref No.. SUDA=120/96 (Pt.I)/ 999 A

Date: 17.11.98

From : Dr. N.G.Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health
SUDA.

To : Sri E.J. Jos
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Department of Family Welfare)
Nirman Bhavan
New Delhi .

Sub: Reproductive and Child Health Project (LENP), Asansol
Civil Construction Works :
Bid Notice and Comparative Statement of Bids

Sir,


I am to enclose herewith copy of letter No.ME/3615/4S-37/97 dated 29.10.98. of Chief Engineer. M.E. Directorate. West Bengal. and letter No. MED/SE(W) 337/W-51.98 dated 23.10.98. of the Superintending Engineer. West Circle. M.E.Directorate. West Bengal alongwith 2 (two), copies of comparative analysis of Bids and other documents which will speak for itself.

You are requested to kindly take necessary action towards according of approval of World Bank in this regard. As the matter is urgent, a quick action is solicited.

On receipt of approval from you, further action shall be taken from this end for construction of the concerned health units by M. E. Directorate of M.A. Department. Govt. of West Bengal.

Once these are approved, you are further requested to confirm if similar type of documents are required to be sent to you for approval in respect of other health units to be constructed later on in a phased manner.

Yours faithfully,



Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health, SUDA


Enclosed :

1. Copy of letter No. ME/3615/4S-37/97 dated 29.10.98.
2. Copy of letter No. MED. SE(W)/337/W-51/98 dated 23.10.98 from S.E. , West Circle, M.E.D.
3. Invitation for National Competitive Bidding
4. Comparative Analysis of Abstract Cost, Group I
5. Comparative Analysis of Abstract Cost, Group II
6. Comparative Analysis of Abstract Cost, Group - III
7. Credentials relating to M/S. WESTING - House Saxby Farmer Limited.
8. Credentials relating to Sri Mukti Pada Pal
9. Credentials relating to Sri Tapash Kumar Hazra

C.C. NO. SUDA-120/96(Pt-I)/999K/1(3) Dt. 17-11-98
Chief

1. The Chief Engineer, M.E. Directorate, West Bengal, w.r.t. his office Memo No. ME 3615/4S-37/97 dated 29.10.98.
2. The Director & Chief Executive, SUDA.
3. The Special Secretary, M.A. Department


Dr. N. G. Gangopadhyay
Advisor, Health, SUDA
17/11/98





Government of West Bengal
Office of the Chief Engineer
Municipal Engineering Directorate
Vikash Bhavan : Salt Lake : Calcutta - 700 091.

No. ME/ 3615/4S-37/97

Dated : the 29th Oct. '98

✓ To
Dr. N.G. Gangopadhyay,
Adviser (Health),
S U D A.

Sub : RCH - Asansol

S i r,

I am to enclose herewith a copy of the letter No.MED/
SE(W)/337/W-51/98 dated 23-10-98 alongwith comparative analysis
in triplicate and other documents as enclosure, which will
speak for itself.

I shall now request you to send the enclosures to
World Bank for their necessary action including approval
in this regard. As the matter is urgent a quick action from
your end is solicited.

On receipt of their reply we shall take further action.

Yours faithfully,

S. K. Mukherjee
(S. K. MUKHERJEE)
Chief Engineer

Enclo : As stated.

29.10.98.

GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WEST CIRCLE
MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE
TINKONIA : BURDWAN

No: MED/SE(W)/337/W-51/98

Dated: 23.10.98.

From:- The Superintending Engineer
West Circle
M.E.Directorate.

To :- The Chief Engineer
M.E.Directorate.

5156
5165
28-10-98
P.

Sub: Implementation of RCH Project at Asansol-
Civil Construction works.

Ref: Bid Notice No.1/RCH/ASAN vide Memo No.
MED/SE(W)/134/W-51/98 of 98-99 dt.18.07.98.

S i r,

The bids received in response to the aforesaid Bid Notice on 21.09.98 and opened on 25.09.98 in presence of the participants. After evaluation of the rates offered in the bids, the lowest rate available for each group of work stands as follows:-

- Gr.I :- Bid of Westing House Saxby Farmer Ltd. for Rs.29,42,068=00
Gr.II :- Bid of M/S.Tapas Kumar Hazra for Rs.29,35,907=80
Gr.III:- Bid of M/S.Muktipada Paul for Rs.29,29,673=40
Gr.IV :- Cancelled on technical grounds and bid reinvited on 05.11.98.

^{4 copies each}
I am enclosing herewith the Comparative Statements of Bids against each item of work for your kind perusal and forwarding to the World Bank for their approval.

It is hereby recommended from this end to accept the bids of all the lowest bidders of Gr.II & III as well as Gr.No.I, although there has been two bidders only.

I am further enclosing herewith the copies of performance reports and audit reports of the three lowest bidders, alongwith the copies of Bid Notice.

Yours faithfully,

Enclo: As stated.

(G. DAS) 25/10/98
Superintending Engineer
West Circle
M.E.Directorate.